



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18567
Title of the Manuscript:	THE NEXT ECONOMY IN UKRAINE: DEVELOPING ALTERNATIVE ENERGY WITH THE HELP OF NBIC-TECHNOLOGIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In part: Results and discussion Starting at line 82 and ending on line 97, there is a very conclusion the authors or any of the references? The same occurs in the passages: Line 111 to the line 114. Line 140 to the line 143. Line 144 to the line 150. Line 161 to the line 167. Line 168 to the line 173. Line 183 to the line 188. Line 189 to the line 199. Line 200 to the line 202. Line 203 to the line 207. Line 211 to the line 302. Line 307 to the line 343. Line 353 to the line 361. Line 366 to the line 429. If some of the references, it is important that the reference is cited. In part: References It would be important to standardize the references according the magazine's standards.	Yes, I put all the links Yes, I tried to fix design literature. But not sure if I made reference to the execution of the state scientific and technical programs in Ukraine. Please tell me how to do it right?
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If possible, include references in some previous publications BJEMT and / or Sciencedomain International, because this is important for the magazine.	Of course, I'd love to include an article from a huge base of scientific articles published in your magazine. Unfortunately, I have not found similar articles on the use NBIC technologies in the energy sector. If the editor deems fit, I would



SDI Review Form 1.6

		be happy if our article as one of the sources was given an article from your magazine.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The "Review Article" was well developed and deals with important issues both for Ukraine and for the world. Some suggestions were made and the authors may or may not accept them. Consider the suggestions as an aid and not as critical. It was observed that most references are in Ukrainian language, which makes it more restricted scope of these citations. This paper written in a universal language, which is English language, will help both in research and in future discussions on the topics covered.	