



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18613
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN DEMOCRACY AND AUTOCRACY BASED ON SOCIAL INDICATORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	CHECK THE DATA IN THE TABELS ..	Data has been checked and found correct according to the file downloaded in September 2014. Please see the attachment 1. One may find some slight difference because of variation of source of data.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well . just check the data in Table: 2: (DATA) Page no.4. the GDP??? 1- Luxembourg:>>>103858> 2- Norway:>>>99635>>. I wish that if the author added State Of Qatar. Which considered to be the highest GDP in the world.. IF POSSIBLE ,THE AUTHOR SHOULD ADD TO HIS CONCLUSION SOME MORE ISSUES TO THE COMPARATIVE STUDY, BETWEEN THE SIDES OS THE STATES .	Though Qatar is an autocratic country but we take 25 autocratic countries with lowest democratic indices where Qatar is not included. Please see attachment 2. As a result Qatar is excluded. The conclusion has been revised substantially.