



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_18708
Title of the Manuscript:	Causative Factors of Air Traffic Delay in Muritala Muhammed International Airport Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is too much literature review in this paper including introduction of air traffic concepts. I have to suggest that the author could simplify these sections and try to state the most related ones (like studies on delay, methods to analyse causative factors...).	1) Literature review has been reduced 2) Methods to analyse causative factors included in the literature lines 125- 141
	I believe that there is no need for showing all the airlines in Table 1 since the author only chooses three of them and have explained why they are typical. But instead of this, the author could give us a brief introduction to the structured questionnaire used to collect original data, as mentioned in line 181.	1) Table 1 removed 2) Structured questionnaire introduced in lines 188-190
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The results show that bad weather and fuelling count the most for air traffic delay. If it is possible, I suggest that the author could explain a little bit more about this conclusion, not only from statistics but also operations.	Bad weather discussed in the literature - lines 117-123