



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19022
Title of the Manuscript:	Proud to be Socially Responsible: An Examination of the Association between Corporate Social Responsibility, Pride in Membership, Job Satisfaction and Employee Engagement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper is completely decontextualized. Pakistan is an 'accident' in the paper. Data could have been collected everywhere. It is in fact an exercise of descriptive statistics. It is focused in a combination of very general notions and too much notion Even on a descriptive perspective, nothing has been described with so much general variables. These notions are mainly US and it is difficult to believe in any relevance for Pakistan. The lack of relevance is too strong.	Our sample is based on Pakistan according to our research problem. And we couldn't change it anymore. Regards
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The title: it is not an association but a determinist perspective	Our title has been changed as: The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Pride in Membership, Job Satisfaction and Employee Engagement. Regards for your comments.