



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19249
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing income inequality in Namibia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract, keywords, and JEL are not written properly. Literature Review is missing. Generally, the article should be corrected, wholly. The author needs to justify the reason for conducting research on inequality in Namibia, and the rational for methodology adopted. The language should be corrected. More importantly, the author is advised to peruse the journal's specimen, and guideline.	The comment attended • JEL corrected • More literature added • Motivation for the paper provided • The guideline of the journal followed • Professional language edited
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		