



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19249
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing income inequality in Namibia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors present an interesting article, but its publication is expedient to get answers to questions. The authors used the wrong data, the authenticity of which they have no doubt. To test it would be desirable at least some of them to confirm their own research.	The reviewer doubting about the authenticity of data; but authorisation given to higher institution to process the data further, you may contact through http://nsa.org.na the survey was done in 2012
Minor REVISION comments	Article deduct and correct errors. For example, Cobb-Douglas was written in three versions.	This part is corrected based on the reviewer observation, it was type error
Optional/General comments	In addition, you must enter the section "Discussion", and generally lead article to the required format.	Discussion is combined with results