



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19267
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Personal Income Tax Evasion on Socio-Economic Development in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The methodology section failed to state the parts of Ghana (region, district etc.) where this research was conducted. Also the sample for the work is too small if this work indeed is done in Ghana. The population of Ghana is very high and using a sample of 44 people is unacceptable. The researcher need to describe the characteristics of the population. It is unclear if the work is done using people in the informal sector or the formal sector. If it is done using the formal sector, then the work is out of order since this will not apply. But in the informal sector it might occur. I am of the view that the title is misleading and must be change to reflect what actually the work covered.	The part of Ghana where the research was undertaken has been added to the text. The characteristics of the population for this research has been stated. The title has been changed to suit taxes evaded by the informal sector.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		