



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19274
Title of the Manuscript:	Interaction between Domestic and Foreign Direct Investment in Thailand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1) The literature review is too short. 2) The conclusions need more analysis	I agree with reviewer that – 1) The literature review is too short ” and the literature review has been extended, But I am not agree that conclusion needs more analysis – 2)The second point “ The conclusions need more analysis ” doesn’t make any sense because everything has been explained in conclusion.
Optional/General comments		