



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19274
Title of the Manuscript:	Interaction between Domestic and Foreign Direct Investment in Thailand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors need to describe in more detail what practical data they used for calculations.	Not agree with reviewer, all relevant information about the data has been explained. And I used a well-known techniques which has been precisely explained
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is desirable to specify the data and the results apply only to Thailand, or can be extended to other developing countries.	Yes this has explained, this is the case study of Thailand. Which has been mention in paper
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Furthermore, it is desirable to introduce and elaborate the section "Discussion".	Not agree with the reviewer , because everything has been explained in detail in the section of " Empirical Analysis"