



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19564
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Environmental Management and Quality Management Issues in the Libyan Food Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The following suggestions may be able to improve the paper: The author may address the problems that occur in the setting. The objectives were not highlighted clearly. The methodology should highlight the research design, the sampling procedure and criteria of respondents and data analysis. The presentation of findings may be improved by presenting in the appropriate tabulations. Some points in the discussion could just be highlighted under the findings and analysis.	The author have addressed the problems. Please see the end of the fourth paragraph in the introduction. The objectives: 1. To identify environmental management issues in the LFI. 2. To identify quality management issues in the LFI. 3. To propose practical suggestions and recommendation to resolve the issues in environmental and quality management and to help the LFI prepare for TQEM implementation. The methodology and the research design have been highlighted/improved as suggested. Please see section 5 (methodology). The sampling procedure and criteria of respondents and data analysis have been highlighted/improved as suggested. Please see first paragraph in section 5.3. Also, please refer to section 6: Data analysis. The presentation of findings and some points in the discussion have been improved. Please see section 7. Also tables have been modified. Please see table 3 and table 4.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		