



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19793
Title of the Manuscript:	Twenty Years of Implementation of District Assemblies' Common Fund in Ghana - (1994-2013) An Assessment of Disbursement
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>This is a paper that has relevant issue in Ghana's political system. It is an issue, which needs the attention of academics. However, the author is not doing what is necessary to get this paper publish. 1. The original mistakes I suggested are still there. When a reviewer identifies a mistake it does not mean that will be the only mistake but it's the responsibility of the author(s) to go through the whole paper to address such mistakes or similar ones. For example, if in the revised manuscript, District Assembly Common Fund (DACF) is used on line 8, why is the author not using acronym (DACF) on lines 23 and 43 but has to spell them out again. It is clear that the author does not understand the use of acronyms. 2. This sentence "The interviews data collected was systematically analysed through..." on line 98 original manuscript was pushed to line 105 revised without change. The manuscript MUST be given to a professional proofreader.</p>	<p>Thank you very much. The reviewer comment is hereby fully addressed I am very grateful. The manuscript was sent to professional reviewer.</p>