



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19884
Title of the Manuscript:	BANK CREDIT AND AGRICULTURAL OUTPUT IN NIGERIA (1970 - 2013): AN ERROR CORRECTION MODEL (ECM) APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors obtained as a result of their research phenomenological model, but in practice, it did not explained. Therefore, it is unclear whether or not received depending saved when changing variables occurring in them.	Well, we did not understand his comment. But substantial adjustments have been to the model specification subsection by deleting unnecessary equations and items.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It must also be considered. In addition, the need for a substantial reduction of a literature review.	We have deleted some part of the literature review.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Tables should be explained in more detail	We do not agree with him.