



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20015
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of industrial paddy processing on local rice competitiveness in Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	As the data collected were centred in just one district of the country, the spectrum could not have been adequate to cover the whole nation. Therefore, the title should read: Effects of Industrial Paddy Processing on Local Rice Competitiveness in Glazoue District, Benin Republic The Abstract should be written as a WHOLE with broad objective or aim, study design, methodology, major findings, conclusion and recommendation fused together, usually between 250 and 300 words, unless stated otherwise by the Journal. Keywords should be from 3-6 The Material and Methods should be written in past tense since the authors are reporting the procedures undertaken for the study. All acronyms should be written in full at first mention, then subsequently use the abbreviations.	Right. Proposed title is accepted. Present structure of the abstract obeys to the guidelines for authors. Key words are not single words. Relevant pairs of words are accepted. In this Journal, 4-8 keywords are allowed. Done. Present tense is turned to past tense where appropriate. Narrative present tense is kept elsewhere as the description relates to a permanent situation rather than to one observed only during the survey. Most of acronyms are French, and their full French spelling is not always required in the manuscript. However, they were shortly described in English before they are



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Tables should not be made of columns and rows, but only two rows for all entries, unless stated otherwise by the Journal. Also, should not overlap into the following page. Conclusion is drawn from the major findings only. But it seems the authors are discussing/summarising the results again. Figures are not supposed to appear in the conclusion. Modify paragraph 3 only as the Conclusion and Policy Implication.	written as acronyms. Omissions are attended where necessary. As per the guidelines for authors, this is not required. Right. Correction done at the best appropriate.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please, see text for comments.	Most corrections are done. Please note that title of all figures were already provided below the figures, as per the normal rule for figure titling. Legends of figures are moved to the bottom. Regarding references, insertion of book and report pages are not required, according to the guidelines for authors. However, article pages are inserted as recommended.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		