



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20159
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Compliance to Sacco Regulatory Authority (SASRA) Regulations on Financial Performance of Deposit Taking Savings and Credit Co-Operatives (SACCO) Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors must also clearly state the purpose of the article and the results achieved, as now they look unclear.	All authors agree and changes done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The resulting equation is advisable to check for autocorrelation, for example, by Durbin-Watson statistic.	The authors assumes that the error term have mean zero and constant variance and Uncorrelated. Notably, the data used in this study is not time series data and hence the assumption above makes sense.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article must be brought to the generally accepted view, enter, for example, the section "Discussion"	The authors holds this as optional