



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20200
Title of the Manuscript:	Are Family Firms Really More Long-Term Oriented? Evidence from China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Check grammar throughout. There are a number of errors. 2. Summarize the results after the tables in the Results section. Only the impact of control variables has been reported; this is insufficient. 3. Summarize the hypotheses and their acceptance or rejection at the outset of the section on Conclusions.	1. We go through the paper and revised all the possible errors. 2. Thanks for reminding. We have put the main effect and moderate effect results report before Table 3 and Table 4. To avoid misunderstanding, we replace all the results explanation including main effect, moderating effect and control effect before Table 3 and Table 4. 3. We added the hypotheses testing results in the conclusions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		