



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_20287
Title of the Manuscript:	Specifying the Costs of Academic Training in Higher Education
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research work focuses on a remarkable subject, which is based on effective calculation regarding to costs of academic training in higher education. Although its origin is a good subject, the paper should be revised and its weaknesses should be removed in order to fit the paper to a typical scientific report. In this sense I suggest some major revisions as follows: 1- References value of the paper is weak. There should be more references and the references should be cited correctly in the content. I also suggest adding references from especially years: 2013, 2014, and 2015. 2- There are some blanks between some titles / sub-titles. I suggest the author(s) not to leave blank spaces before starting to a new title / sub-title. It will be better to explain about the content under the next title / sub-title rather than directly starting to a new title / sub-title. 3- The paper should be supported with some visual elements like figures or tables in order to improve readability and the flow.	Thank you for your insightful comments and your positive words. We have consulted several articles published in the journal and worked on revising the submitted paper in line with the form and style seen there. We have revised the abstract and the introduction and have also restructured the methodological section. We also added extra information to the discussion of the results and the conclusion to address your requests for modification. We have added numerous references to the paper while revising the text. At the same time, it should also be noted that the study aims to introduce the findings of our own research and the literature review section (where more references could have been included) was shortened due to limitations on the length of the paper. The paper has been modified in line with the suggestions, the study has been restructured after the revision of the methodological section. To improve readability and understanding, we have extended the methodological section and also added an illustration of the prime cost calculation model.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	4- The Introduction section seems short. There should be more explanation about the objectives of the work and also even its organization; in order to attract readers' attention before passing to next sections. 5- Conclusions section should be revised in order to express more clearly about conclusions regarding to subject of this study and suggestions. I also encourage the author(s) to express their ideas about any future work on the subject. Thanks the author(s) for their valuable efforts to form this paper.	The introduction has been revised and we worked on highlighting the objectives and significance of the work more. The conclusion section has been revised and extended and we also highlighted our proposal regarding the application of our findings in the methodology of financing higher education. Thank you for your insightful and valuable comments!
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It may be good way to provide a comparison approach for calculation of costs in different education systems or environments in which such models were applied.	We have studied the financing models in international higher education, the role and position of management information systems contributing to efficient operation, as well as the IT systems providing background support. This further reinforced the heterogeneity of the operation of institutions of higher education. Due to the extent and significance of the issue, the discussion of this could provide an excellent topic for another article.