



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_21510
Title of the Manuscript:	Investment and Commercial Banking: To Merge or Separate? An Empirical Analysis
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1) It is a very good paper 2) The conclusions are very short	I appreciate the comments especially the bit that said the paper is very good. On the conclusion, I covered everything that the objectives of the paper mandated and required me to cover. Nevertheless, I'm going to add a section on recommendation , constraints etc.
Optional/General comments		