



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_21510
Title of the Manuscript:	Investment and Commercial Banking: To Merge or Separate? An Empirical Analysis
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest the methodology for testing the stability of banks be separate. In other words Section 3.0 can be 'Research Methodology'. Also research objective (s) and/or question(s) have to be clear. Conclusion - Please expand your discussions of contributions, implications, limitations and avenues for future research.	This have been incorporated in the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	et al. - must be in italics.	This has been done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		