



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15460
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Effectiveness of Electronic Records Maintenance at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The problem of research must be indicated in the introduction with a presentation of objectives - - The author must not define electronic records management at the Introduction , it must be indicated at literature review(at L63) - The total of sample indicated on table of sampled population is 14but the authors indicated on L191, 25.And on the abstract the authors indicate sample of 33 -L227; p9; The authors present a table but has not explain why he calculate valid percent, cumulative percent....: the are no interpretation of the table. -The author present a result and not explain why they are this results - The criteria of evaluation of effectiveness must be indicated (references?) -Are this a positive results that indicated the Effectiveness of Electronic Records Maintenance at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe ?and if not ? Indicate a solution in order to ameliorate this situation (you can develop this at the conclusion) -The conclusion must be developed and the authors must indicates the limit of the research and the future perspectives and can explain why it is possible to	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	ameliorate the effectiveness of electronic records maintenance	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Do not indicate at the reference L19(2004;13)? L29 (2005, 132), L50, L64.... L84(1), L85(2), the number 1 and 2 are not justified	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-The authors can introduce "effectiveness" as keywords -the authors can explain why there is not effectiveness of maintenance of documents	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Regaieg essafi raida</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of marketing, higher institute of management , Tunisia</i>