



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17413
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender and Small business Growth Orientations: Theory, Evidence and Implications for Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	My compulsory suggestion to consider in this article focuses on the technical side. Scientific considerations I found interesting and correct. - Improve the technical side of the article. Especially look at verse 558-562 - two different references. How does this compare to the references in the main text? - No description (numbering, source) in tables and figures, no reference to them in the text. - No references to world literature which discusses similar issues. Literature is not quite up to date. - Adjust the article to editorial requirements of the journal. I think it is important to improve the information in this area.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The research methods chosen for the study are discussed and justified in terms of the research problem. It is done properly.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Subject of article is very interesting. Author points specify the interlinkage and synergy how the factors that influence business growth differ and or influence business growth decisions of firms based on gender. Discussed the issues are current and relevant. The study design is well reasonably and have practical significance. The proposed structure of the article provides a logical and consistent presentation of the problem. Considerations contained in it is a good basis for action by the author or other researchers detailed research of	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	this issue. The topic is very interested for researchers and results can be used by business leaders. The remarks are indicated to improve it.	
--	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland