SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJEMT_18038**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EXTREME POVERTY AND THE NEW DEVELOPMENT BANK OF BRICS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 29 – Too many key words. This must be reduced to at most 5** **Referencing is poor and may be a subject of plagiarism**	
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 36, 51, 95, 152, 214, 234, 293, 304 – the references should go to the reference section	
Optional/General comments	There are inadequate and poor referencing. Most part of the paper are not referenced and the authors must take a second look at them. The referencing of the websites must include the date assessed, the authors and the year. There is also no clear methodology adopted by the paper. The authors need to make this too clear. The paper in general is a good paper but the authors must pay attention to the issue of references and resubmit.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**University of Electronic Science & Technology, China**