



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18357
Title of the Manuscript:	Student Perceptions of Accounting and Business Scandals on the Accounting Profession
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting study on a topic of current value. The response rate is high and the methodology and results are acceptable. The abstract: Describe the ages of respondents in line 17. Provide details of good ethical conduct in line 18. Rewrite the sentence beginning, "Considering" as it sounds colloquial. The literature review must make reference to theories of ethics. Page 3, line 63: Define the types of ethics to be taught. Pages 5-9: Summarize all scandals listed. Page 10, line 212: Correct the spelling of Loeb. Page 11: Specify methodologies for the measurement of ethics. Were vignettes used ?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebecca Abraham
Department, University & Country	School of Business, Nova Southeastern University, USA