



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_18556
Title of the Manuscript:	Goal-based Key Performance Indicators of Science Parks' Effectiveness: A Case Study at Riyadh Techno-Valley
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. It is generally awkward to have two headings without text separating them (as in headings 2.2 and 2.1.1). 2. In section 2.2.2, for the bullet-point items, either use all nouns or all gerunds but do not use both. 3. Is the acronym FIS really Fuzzy Expert System? Do you mean FES? 4. Be consistent with figures and tables. You can't call something a figure AND a table. Also, please be consistent with where you place the name "figure" or "table;" in some places, you have it after the figure/table and in some places you have it before.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Fix spelling issue (lowercase "w" in wireless) 2. Fix punctuation (in text before reference 12 [page 2]—remove comma). 3. fix punctuation (extraneous period) in reference # 12 4. either remove link in reference #14 or make a hyperlink in reference 12.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barry Chametzky
Department, University & Country	Ozarks Technical Community College, USA