SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJEMT_19249**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Factors influencing income inequality in Namibia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
I am generally happy with the revisions made. The write-up has been improved significantly. I would however, still like to see some improvements. Namely, (1) Equation (2) is not the log-transformation of Equation (1). Please amend equation (1). (2) The limitation of assuming income inequality as exogenous should be at least incorporated in the Conclusion. For example, the author/s can use the material in the literature review to discuss the link between income per capita growth and income inequality. This is already partially done when saying that "there are a number of complex sets of factors affecting income inequality". So discuss this with the results found in the paper. (3) Section 3 merits a paragraph discussing how the independent variables are measured and incorporated in the regression. There is no need of having Appendix 4 and 5. (4) In 'Results and Discussions' section reference is being made to the constant, which you refer to as the error term. Remove this reference and stick only to 'constant'. (5) I encountered a number of grammatically mistakes. Please correct. (6) From the regression results there seems to be high t-stat (and large number of observations) coupled with low R-squared. Please comment. (7) Comment on heteroskedasticity in the same paragraph when discussing multicollinearity in Section 3. (8) Shorten abstract by removing unnecessary detail (e.g. no need to say from where data was sourced)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Economics, University of Malta, Msida, Malta**