



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19814
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimating Potential Output for Pakistan: A Production Function Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Article's topic is appropriate. The authors pointed to the current state of Pakistan's economy and the possibility of increasing its level.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On first use of abbreviations in the text, I suggest explanations in brackets. For example, abbreviations: NAIRU, GDP, GNP, OLS, HP. On page 166, what is (1)? On page 167: what means: Yt is ...? On page 167: what means: Lt is ...? On page 266, what means (9)? On page 192 should be TPF or TFP?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors dealt with trend in economic growth and inflation rates in Pakistan. It is gratifying that the actual and potential total factor productivity as well as actual and potential labor supply of Pakistan Economy is growing, as shown in Fig. II and Fig. IV. It is expected that the article will contribute to the implementation of the methods used to improve the macroeconomic indicators.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Magdalena Valsikova</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Slovak university of agriculture in Nitra, Slovakia</i>