



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_19934
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES IN THE BUSINESS RESCUE INDUSTRY IN UGANDA
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study is well documented and has brief explanation of challenges in the business rescue industry in Uganda. I didn't find any serious flaw. I think there is no need of any major change.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the part of your introduction, it is difficult to find your major contributions. Maybe this contributions will be related to "why you have these opinions?" and "what are the meanings of these opinions?". Or maybe you have written them in this part. However, you may have a short conclusion, and point them out in the introduction part. Moreover, do the lines "65-69" (Conversely, most of Uganda's SMEs fail since they work under financial distress; this is credited to be deficient in knowledge and business training, insufficient financing, poor pricing, overextension of credit, lack of contingency planning, misunderstanding market niche, lack of frankness, genuineness and honest; lack of entrepreneurial mindset, entrepreneurial spirit and entrepreneurial skills.) come from the reference of (Sebikari, 2015), or just your own opinions? In the line 70, you said that "Entrepreneurship literature has acknowledged that enterprises fail most often because ...and anti-entrepreneurial culture", but I cannot find any references to support that. Please add related references. Once more, in the line 76 ("academic literature suggests that no single cause for the...enterprises."), I cannot find any references.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Your article relies heavily on the literature "Sebikari, 2015"	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ziying MO</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>School of Business, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China</i>