



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15560
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved Electricity Supply: What Factors Determine How Much Domestic Customers are willing to Pay in Tamale Urban and Peri-Urban Areas?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript fit the scope of the journal and it contributes to our knowledge and understanding the electricity situation in a particular location of Ghana. The work done by the author can contribute and motive others to do similar research in other countries.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 20. I suggest to change .. to stop producing... for .. to reduce the production. Lines 31 and 32. Rephrase ... there is a direct link between energy supply and development <u>that is energy is</u> a driver Line 32. I suggest "concluded" instead of "posited" Line 33. Use "indicates" instead of "recount". Line 36. I suggest "affordability; on the other hand", and delete "and". Lines 38 and 39. Rephrase this sentence. Lines 40 and 41. For government? Or State. Lines 43 and 44. Rephrase. (suggestion) The electricity tariff increased by 78.9% on 26 September 2013, which was later, reduced to 59.2% by the government after the outcry of the Ghanaians people. Line 44. This amount GHc400 million is annually? Line 52. Rephrase ... "most of which uses are..." ? Lines 55 to 58. Rephrase these sentences in order to be more understandable. Line 58. Use "electricity supply" instead of supply of electricity" Line 62. Use "electricity supply" instead of supply of electricity"	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Lines 62 to 65. Rephrase these sentences in order to be more understandable. Line 69. First sentence ????? It can be deleted. Line 70. Lower letter in environmental and resource economist. Line 77. Use “for” instead “to”. Line 102. Delete “below”. Line 109. Delete “below”. Line 123. Delete “below”. Line 175. Use “electricity supply” instead of supply of electricity” Line 187: use “electricity attributes” instead of “attributes of electricity”. Line 189. Use “electricity supply” instead of supply of electricity” Lines 240, 248, 269 and 291. Use “electricity supply” instead of supply of electricity” Line 331. First sentence. What do you mean? Rephrase. Line 334, 336, 340 and 349: use “electricity attributes” instead of “attributes of electricity”.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add after the amount given in Ghc, between parentheses, the equivalent amount in dollars. Overall English is good, but there are some sentences that need revision.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Carrasco
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Magallanes, Chile