SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJEMT_17543**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Do BVAR Models Forecast Turkish GDP Better Than VAR Models?**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highligdiaht that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The aim of your study was to forecast Turkish GDP using Bayesian vector autoregression models then you should present the results of some diagnostic tests..	
Minor REVISION comments	Delete lines: 132 to 135	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Nguyen Khac Minh**
Department, University & Country	**Thang Long University and Water Resources University,Vietnam**