



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_15580
Title of the Manuscript:	the impact of Corporate GOVERNANCE ON DERIVATIVES usage – Emperical Evidence from african non-financial firms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Literature review yet include explanations underlying the proposed model. Author needs to add the theory and previous studies relevant to the proposed research model. If the study is intended to examine the proposed relationships, then there needs to develop hypotheses. However, there is a confusion between the proposed model and the analysis itself. In the model, author proposed the relationship between GCG and derivative usage, in which GCG measured by Board Size, Board Composition and Audit Committee (see picture 1), while in the analysis, author tested the relationship between documented policy, number of board size, number of executive directors, number of non executive directors, and number of independent director as independent variables and derivative usage as a dependent variable. Therefore, these are needed to be cleared. Also, please specify your conclusion according to the research problem and hypothesis. In the methodology side, author should explain about: (1) how the constructs (i.e Good Corporate Governance and Derivative usage) are measured, (2) how the variable is measured. The measurement of variables should be clear in order to get data that correspond to the measurement. Moreover, author did not justify the usage of logistics regression to analyze data.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Title figure 1 does not correspond to the picture presented.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article requires significant improvement. There are no links between literature, research models, variables and measurement, analysis and conclusions, as explained above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Indonesia