9 10

11

12

13

14 15 16

Research paper

Learning Probability in the Arts Stream Classes: Do Colour Balls with STAD-Cooperative Learning help in Improving Students' Performance?

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. NKK designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, and wrote the protocol. SNM and SA managed the literature searches and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ABSTRACT

17 18

> **Aims**: 1. To investigate the effects of concrete learning aids (Colour Balls) with Student Teams-Achievement Division (*STAD*) cooperative learning (CBCL) method on Form Four Arts Stream students' performance in probability; 2. To find out students' perception towards the use of CBCL method in learning probability.

> **Study design**: Quasi experimental pre-test post-test control group design. Two treatment groups were employed in this design, they were CBCL (experimental group), and *STAD* cooperative learning (CL) (control group).

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out in two rural secondary schools in the District of Tambunan, 90 km from Kota Kinabalu city, Sabah, Malaysia for a period of 170 minutes.

Methodology: The sample consisted of 160 Form Four Arts Stream students (mean age 16 years old). The students were randomly assigned to one of the two conditions - CBCL method (N= 80) and CL method (N= 80) as intact groups. The Probability Performance pre-test and post-test, and open ended questions had been used to collect data. The student's performance mean scores were analysed using Independent-samples t-test and Paired-samples t-test at α = 0.05 level of significance. The student's written comments on their learning experience in CBCL method were categorized into three parts, namely a positive perception, negative perceptions and suggestions for improvement.

Results: The findings revealed that students taught with the CBCL method performed significantly higher than the students who were taught with CL method (t (158) = 3.148, P = .002). The findings also showed that students in both CBCL and CL groups performed significantly better on the post test compared to the pre test (t (79) = 42.382, P = .000 and t (79) = 70.726, P = .000 respectively). A majority of students had positive perception towards the use of CBCL method in learning probability as it: (i) helped linking learning activities to probability concepts; (ii) boost their confidence in answering questions; (iii) helped them better understand and remember the concept of probability; and (iv) fostered their cooperation and discussion in solving problems. Majority of the students also felt that the CBCL activities conducted made learning fun and enjoyable. However, one big concern about the CBCL activities was that it had taken a longer time to complete.

Conclusion: This study shows that the Colour Balls concrete learning aids, when incorporated with the *STAD* cooperative learning (CBCL) method and implemented appropriately in the classrooms, is an effective method in improving the performance of Form Four Arts Stream students in the topic of probability.

19

Keywords: Arts Stream; colour Balls; concrete learning aids; Student Teams-Achievement
 Division (STAD); probability.

22

23

24 1. INTRODUCTION

25

Probability is part of the basic literacy in mathematics that deals with making sense of experiences involving chance and uncertainly [9]. Many skills which are used every day depend on knowing and

understanding probability. In order to function effectively, an understanding of the probability theory is
 essential to enable comprehension of real-life situations such as politics, meteorology and weather
 forecasting, genetic research, engineering research, sports, and insurance policies. Hence, students
 should master the basic ideas of probability very early in the school programme.

32

33 Despite the importance of probability and its fundamental role in daily life, many ideas about 34 probability are difficult to learn and therefore hard to teach [1]. According to [18], it was found that 35 problems in learning probability such as those used in calculating, reporting, and interpreting 36 probabilities will arise when students inadequately develop rational number concepts and proportional 37 reasoning. As proportional reasoning revolves around ratios, it is therefore one type of rational 38 number. As defined in [35], proportional reasoning involves recognising the ratio between elements 39 within measure spaces and the functional relationship across measure spaces. The difficulties that 40 students of all ages experience with proportional reasoning are documented in a number of studies [8, 41 17, 36, 46, 43, 47].

42

43 According to Piaget's theory [45], an individual's thinking at the concrete operational stage is limited 44 because of the individual's reliance on real objects and events. Concrete operational thinkers do not 45 completely grasp proportionality, hypothetical argument, the concept of controlling variables, or 46 probabilistic reasoning [13,52]. On the other hand, students with formal operational thought patterns 47 are capable of grasping abstract principles and multiple perspectives. Research, however, indicates 48 that most students who enter college do not demonstrate sufficient formal operational thought when 49 dealing with the laws of probability and probabilistic reasoning [19]. Similarly, the change from 50 concrete operations to formal operational thoughts did not happen to most Malaysian students who have completed upper secondary school and those who have continued their education in college or 51 university (Cheam, University of Science Malaysia, Malaysia, Unpublished results). 52 53

54 [25] found that students with concrete operational thought patterns were incapable of predicting 55 events with equal probability in sample space. [26] concur that a learner in a concrete-operational 56 period is neither able to differentiate between certain and random predictions nor formulate 57 predictions. Due to the nature of randomness and random events in probability, the specific examples 58 and results from chance events may not be the expected ones [11]. These 'unusual' results conflict 59 with what is expected, and students are therefore faced with experimental evidence that does not 60 clearly illustrate the concept. Consequently, probability ideas often appear to conflict with students' 61 experiences and how they view the world [31]. [42] stated that the conflict between probability theory and students' view of the world is due at least in part to students' limited contact with randomness. 62 63 Educators were therefore suggested to prepare a study of 'chance' by providing experience with 64 random behaviour early in the mathematics curriculum (p. 98). In other words, effective instructional 65 methods need to be employed in helping concrete operational students to build a better 66 understanding of abstract concepts in probability. The challenge is to relate to students and engage 67 them in learning experiences with random behaviour in which they can construct their own 68 understanding of probability.

69

[44] implied that students with concrete operational thought patterns do not possess the mature mentality to grasp abstract mathematical concepts presented in words or symbols alone and thus, various experiences with concrete materials are required for learning to take place. Concrete materials, or concrete objects are defined as physical teaching tools that engage students in the hands-on learning of mathematics [7]. "Whether termed manipulatives, concrete materials, or concrete objects, physical materials are widely touted as crucial to the improvement of mathematics learning" [4].

77

78 Previous studies by [40.51,54,55] showed that the use of concrete learning aids will increase a 79 student's achievement in mathematics: in particular the concept of probability. This is because 80 through the interaction with objects, concrete experience and active participation through discussion 81 among peers, it can help to accelerate students' understanding on abstract concepts of probability 82 [41,53]. Researchers have studied the use of concrete objects in several different grade levels and in 83 several different countries [7,12,14,32]. The majority of the studies indicated that mathematics 84 achievement increases when concrete objects are put to good use. [41] supports this by stating that it 85 is the active manipulation of materials that 'allows learners to develop a repertoire of images that can 86 be used in the mental manipulation of abstract concepts'. To sum up, much of the research findings 87 has shown that a student's achievement levels are related to his/her experience in using concrete 88 objects. 89

90 Based on several research findings, however, it showed that theoretical benefits of concrete materials 91 in mathematics did not always translate into practice. In [23]'s study, they found that many of the 11-92 to 12-year-old students had difficulty in moving 'from the concrete representations to the more formal aspects of mathematics' [23]. According to [16], some of these difficulties derive from the use of 93 94 particular materials that are used within a 'representational' approach. In this approach students 95 would work with an external representation in order to give meaning through 'internal' representations 96 to a particular aspect of mathematics. On the part of the teacher, that specific mathematical meaning 97 is actually embodied in the external representation, but [16] claims that while this may be true for the 98 teacher, it is not necessarily true for the students. [21] agrees that 'concrete embodiments do not 99 convey mathematical concepts' but it is the 'experts' who already have those concepts who will make 100 sense of the ideas being modelled.

101

The way in which the materials are used, would therefore appear to be important factors in helping students to translate their thinking processes from handling objects to symbolic representations. Students need to see through the objects of the mathematics which underpin the representation and to think with the representations [22]. As [4] points out, 'although kinaesthetic experience can enhance perception and thinking, understanding does not travel through the fingertips and up the arm' [4]. Therefore, in itself, the physical exploration and manipulation of concrete materials alone will not always lead students to discover 'correct' mathematical concepts.

According to [37], accompanying mental activity is the crucial element of reaching 'correct' mathematical conclusions during concrete materials manipulation. Without some accompanying mental activity to reflect the purpose of the physical activity, concrete materials will not be able to develop students' mathematical understanding [37]. In order for this to happen, there needs to be a discourse between the student and the teacher or between the student and more capable peers as this will allow the student to bridge the gap between the concrete materials and the abstract ideas.

117 In response to this view, [58] believes that social interaction involving group problem solving enables 118 each student to extend his or her zone of proximal development. The difference between what the 119 learners are able to achieve unaided and what they can achieve under guidance of an expert or more 120 capable peers defines what Vygotsky termed as 'zone of proximal' development [37]. The belief that peer interaction may promote learning has been applied systematically under the rubric of 121 122 "cooperative learning". Cooperative learning is an instructional technique in which students work 123 together in structured small groups to accomplish shared goals [29]. Research indicates that 124 cooperative learning groups seem to help all students because the best students get to "share" their 125 knowledge with others while the weaker students get peer coaching [24].

126

127 Research studies in the use of Student Teams-Achievement Division (STAD) have been applied with 128 great success in various research projects [57,28,56]. Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) 129 refers to a cooperative-learning method in which small groups of learners with different levels of ability 130 work together to accomplish a shared learning goal [48]. [49] stipulates five major components of the 131 STAD, namely: class presentations, teams, quizzes, individual improvement scores, and team 132 recognition. According to [50] "the main idea behind STAD is to motivate and encourage students to 133 help each other to master the skills presented by the teacher" (p. 23). As such, this study is conducted 134 to investigate whether concrete learning aids, with the help of STAD cooperative learning, can help 135 Form Four students to make sense of the ideas being modelled in the topic of probability. 136

137 In the Malaysian context, students have the opportunity to pursue two years of studies in the upper 138 secondary (form 4 - form 5) upon completion of the lower secondary education. Students who are 139 academically inclined can choose between two main streams: the Science or Arts Stream. Seemingly, 140 there is an unfair social perception regarding students in the Science Stream and those in the Arts 141 Stream. It is always considered that the Science Stream is for students who are considered highly 142 intelligent while the Arts Stream is meant for those students who are of inferior intelligence. Hence, 143 the Arts Stream students are perceived as less capable in mathematics performance, especially those 144 from the rural schools. This is evidenced when [20] found that the performance of rural Arts Stream 145 secondary school students was significantly lower compared to their counterparts of the urban 146 secondary school for the Mathematics test (t = 19.10, P = .000). 147

The Malaysian School Mathematics Curriculum has included 'Probability' as one of the main topics on relationships at the upper secondary levels [38]. The mastery of probability I in Form Four will provide students with a stronger foundation for further study of probability II in Form Five. However, results reported by Kheong (Kheong, University of Technology Malaysia, Unpublished results) in his study indicated that many Malaysian Form Five students; especially those from the Arts Stream were

REVIEW FR PEER

154 155

153 generally weak in understanding the concept of probability. It was found that students have difficulties selecting the types of events that occur simultaneously and events that do not occur simultaneously.

156 It is plausible that the Arts stream students who do not have formal operational thought patterns are 157 incapable of understanding probability because the process of making random predictions is an 158 abstract process in itself. On the other hand, it is also plausible that learning aids, particularly those of 159 a concrete, hands-on nature, may have much to offer students who cannot comprehend abstract 160 probability concepts. Concrete learning aids such as colour balls may be a useful tool to help the Arts 161 Stream students visualise non-observable, explanatory phenomena such as events in the sample 162 space of probability.

163

164 Additionally, it is also plausible that the Arts Stream students may be motivated to learn probability 165 with the assistance from their more able peers inherent in STAD cooperative learning. These 166 arguments present an interesting conundrum. Should teachers use concrete learning aids with STAD 167 cooperative learning method to teach abstract and difficult concepts such as 'probability' to Form Four 168 Arts stream students in rural schools? Or is the use of STAD cooperative learning method alone 169 sufficient to facilitate Form Four Arts stream students in learning probability? Through the findings of 170 this research, it can give insights to mathematics educators on the role of concrete learning aids with 171 STAD cooperative learning and how it can make the abstract concept of 'probability' comprehensible 172 to Arts Stream students in rural schools. This in turn will provide useful information to educators about 173 the appropriateness of using concrete learning aids with STAD cooperative learning in the teaching of 174 probability. Moreover, little empirical research was focused on the effectiveness of this instructional 175 method in improving learner's performance in probability.

177 1.1 Purpose of Study

178 The purpose of this study, thus, was to investigate the effects of Colour Balls with Student Teams-179 Achievement Division (STAD) cooperative learning (CBCL) method versus STAD cooperative 180 learning (CL) method on performance in probability among Form Four Arts Stream students in rural 181 schools. A further purpose was to find out the students' perception towards the use of the CBCL 182 method in learning probability. More specifically, this study addressed the following questions:

183 1. Is there a significant difference in student's pre-test mean scores on probability between learners 184 learning with CBCL method and learners learning with CL method?;

185

176

186 2. Is there a significant difference in student's pos-test mean scores between learners who are taught 187 with CBCL method and learners who are taught with CL method?; 188

189 3. Is there a significant difference between pre-test and post-test mean scores between learners in 190 the CBCL learning group?

191 192 4. Is there a significant difference between pre-test and post-test mean scores between learners in 193 the CL learning group?

195 5. What are the students' insights and experiences about using CBCL method in learning probability?

196

194

197 198 2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

199

200 2.1 Sample

201

202 The study was carried out in two rural secondary schools in the district of Tambunan, 90 Km from 203 Kota Kinabalu city, Sabah, Malaysia. The samples were made up of 160 Form Four Arts Stream 204 students (mean age 16 years old) from two different secondary schools. The participating students in 205 each school were randomly assigned to one of the two conditions - CBCL method and CL method as 206 intact groups.

207 2.2 Research Design

REVIEW FR P H H R

208 The study employed a quasi-experimental pre-test post-test control group design. The quasi-209 experimental was employed to examine the effects of two different instructional methods on student's 210 performance in learning probability. The independent variable in this study was the method of 211 instruction and a variable with two categories: i) Colour Balls with STAD cooperative learning method 212 (CBCL) (experimental group); and ii) STAD cooperative learning method (CL) (control group). The 213 dependent variable was the student's performance mean scores in the probability test.

215 The study used two equivalent probability tests in which each consisted of 10 items posed in structure 216 formats. Bloom's taxonomy [6] was used as a guide to develop a blueprint for the pre-test and the 217 post-test. The items belonged to the "comprehension," "application" and "analysis" classifications of 218 Bloom's Taxonomy. A pre-test was administered to all students prior to the treatment. The pre-test 219 was helpful in assessing students' prior knowledge of probability and also in testing initial equivalence 220 among groups. A post-test was administered to measure treatment effects. On analyzing the pilot 221 study data, the Pearson's correlation coefficient for the pre-test and post-test was found to be 0.76.

222

214

223 Immediately after the instructions were given, the CBCL learning group students were asked to give 224 some written feedback on the activities for the open ended questions such as: - What is your 225 experience or feelings towards these activities? In what ways can these activities be improved? The 226 written comments were shared with a mathematics teacher as an independent rater to check if he had 227 interpreted the information in the same way. The congruence between independent rater and 228 researcher in categorizing student's thoughts was looked for to establish validation in the finding.

- 230 2.3 Learning with Colour Balls learning aids
- 231

229

232 The development of Colour Balls learning aids and its accompanying module was largely based on 233 the theories of Piaget [26], Vygotsky [58] and constructivism. Based on the premises held by those 234 theories, learners were engaged to: - (a) work cooperatively with group members on tasks that require 235 coordination of actions or thoughts; (b) work together, develop positive interdependence, 236 interpersonal, interaction and verbal interchange skills as they solve problems and construct their own 237 knowledge; (c) explore, try, and manipulate the colour balls learning aids as they solve problems.

238

239 The colour balls learning aids (Figure 1) developed by the researcher, consisted of a box (29.7 cm X 240 21 cm X 23 cm) filled with a collection of colourful balls, large dice (letters and numbers), small dice 241 with polystyrene cups, beads, pieces of plastic coins (10 cents, 20 cents, and 50 cents), and Othello 242 pieces (black and white). The balls with different colours were used to enable students to experience 243 and recognize various random events of sample space. The purpose of using a half-transparent box 244 with its colourful balls was to enable students to visualize the sample space and possible outcomes of 245 the experiment. In addition, beads, black and white pieces, toy coins, marbles, and small dice (letters 246 and numbers) were also provided to be used in STAD cooperative learning group activities.

247

248 Prior to the start of the instruction for both groups, the teacher used the big colour balls and large dice 249 (letters and numbers) to introduce the concept of sampled space, events, and chance. In groups of 250 four or five members (Figure 3), students were then requested to carry out activities such as throwing 251 a dice and tossing a coin to determine whether an outcome is a possible outcome of an experiment or 252 whether an event is possible for a sample space. They helped each other to learn through tutoring, 253 testing each other, sharing their work, discussing and solving problems posed in the provided learning 254 module (Figure 2). The same learning module was given to both treatment groups, but the elements 255 of colour Balls were removed from the modules for the CL group. The learning module consisted of 256 series of questions which were arranged according to the level of difficulty; from easy to difficult.

- 257
- 258
- 259
- 260
- 261
- 262
- 263

264
265
266 267 268 269 270 271 271
273



Fig. 1. Colour Balls learning aids



Fig. 2. Colour Balls learning module

274

275

- 276
- 277

278

279

Fig. 3. STAD cooperative learning group activities with the aid of Colour Balls learning aids

282 283

284 2.4 Learning with the Modified STAD cooperative learning

285 286 The study implemented a modified STAD during the learning sessions and focused on elements such 287 as group hands-on activities, peer tutoring, group discussions, quizzes, individual accountability, and team recognition. The main purpose of STAD in this study was to improve and accelerate the 288 289 learner's performance in probability. The teams for the CBCL and CL groups consisted of 290 heterogeneous groups of four to five members composed on the basis of random selection in 291 accordance with gender and ethnicity (diversity). Team members studied the guestions posed in the 292 module and learn materials together until all students had successfully mastered the content of 293 probability. Both CBCL and CL groups were taught by assigned mathematic teachers over a period of 294 170 minutes and 135 minutes respectively.

295

At the end of the lesson, a quiz testing the concepts of probability was held. Total scores achieved by each group would be calculated, announced, and rewards would be given to the successful group with the highest score. As the goal of each group was to win in the quiz, it was therefore in the interest of every group member to spend time explaining concepts to group mates to ensure that every group member has learned something. The teacher acted as a facilitator, monitored groups and intervened to provide task assistance when needed.

302

In order to control for the "teacher quality" variable, the classroom teachers were trained on how to use the colour ball learning aids and its accompanying module and STAD cooperative learning two

weeks prior to the start of the study. The researcher guided the teachers through a detailed lesson plan which explained the procedure on how to conduct the learning activities in both the CBCL and CL groups. The teachers in all the groups taught the probability unit using the same content outline. However, teachers in the CBCL group conducted learning activities using colour ball with STAD cooperative learning while teachers in the CL group conducted cooperative learning activities without using colour balls learning aids.

311

312 3. RESEARCH FINDINGS

313314 3.1 Participants' Performance Test

In this study, Independent samples t-tests and Paired samples t-tests for performance test comparing
 the mean scores of the pre-test and the post-test between/within the CBCL and CL group were
 computed to determine if a significant difference existed.

318

319 First, an independent samples t-test was conducted on pre-test and post-test scores for the two 320 treatment groups. Based on the data in Table 1, the mean of pre-test scores for the participants in the 321 CBCL group was not statistically significantly different from the pre-test scores in the CL group (t 322 (1.313) = 0.597, P = .55). Hence, it was concluded that pre-test differences among treatment groups 323 were not significant. The results of the post-performance test indicate that the mean of post-test 324 scores for students in the CBCL group (62.25) was higher than the CL group (54.69). An independent 325 samples t-test on the data showed a significant difference between the two groups (t(158) = 3.148, P 326 = .002).

Table 2 reported the paired samples t-test result of data gained from the performance test. The use of the paired sample t-test on the gathered data reveals that both the Colour Balls with STAD cooperative learning (t (79) = 42.382, P = .000) and STAD cooperative learning method experience (t30 (79) = 70.726, P = .000) were statistically effective for the performance of students in probability. The CBCL learning experience, however, leads to a better performance than the CL method.

332 333

334

Table 1. Independent t-test results of data gained from probability test

Test	Groups	Ν	Mean	SD	df	t	Sig-p
Pre-Test	CBCL	80	19.19	13.628			
	CL	80	17.88	14.159	1.313	0.597	0.551
Post-Test	CBCL	80	62.25	14.050			
	CL	80	54.69	16.252	158	3.148	0.002*

^{335 *}Significant at p<0.05

339

Table 2. Pair sample t-test results of data gained from probability test

Groups	Test	Ν	Mean	SD	df	t	Sig-p
CL	Pre-Test	80	17.88	14.159			
	Post-Test	80	54.69	16.252	79	70.726	0.000*
CBCL	Pre-Test	80	19.19	13.628	79	42.382	0.000*
	Post-Test	80	62.25	14.050			

340 *Significant at p<0.05

341 342

343 3.2 Findings from the Open-Ended Questions

The CBCL learning group participants were asked to write comments on their learning experience. In
order to analyze the open-ended informal responses, they were categorized into three parts: namely;
a positive perception (benefits focusing on learning process using the CBCL method), negative

³³⁶ 337 338

REVIEW FR 2 F; F; K

349 350

348 perception (Negative aspects of CBCL learning) and suggestions for improvement. The comments along with the number of participants who made those comments are described in Table 3.

351 Almost all the participants felt that the CBCL activities were suitable for the topic of probability as it 352 helped linking learning activities to probability concepts. They commented that: - "The numerous 353 examples given in the activities had enabled us to make connection to the concepts of probability;" 354 "The learning aids given had made it easier for us to understand the meaning of probability;", and "The colour Balls are suitable and ideal to represent events in sample space". They also felt that the 355 356 CBCL method boost their confidence in answering questions. Some of the related responses were: -357 "There are friends to help me, so I feel more confident when answering questions;", "Probability is not 358 that difficult as what I had thought, I can answer the questions in the module easily;" and "I do not 359 need to 'think long' to solve the problem, there are many heads to help me". Students generally felt 360 that the CBCL activities had helped them better understand and remember the concept of probability. 361 Some of their responses were: -"The learning activities are easy to understand; the box represents 362 the sample space, and the balls represent the events;" and "The activities using colour Balls are easy 363 to follow. Now I can understand the main concepts of probability".

364

365 They also found that the physical features of the learning aids had attracted them to learn probability. 366 Their feedbacks were:- "The colour Balls are very cute, simple and easy to manipulate;", "The Colour 367 Balls have all sorts of colors, very attractive;", "Everything is available in the Colour Balls learning kit, 368 very interesting,", and "In the previous math lesson, we always feel sleepy. But this time we do not 369 feel it".

370

371 Students generally felt that using colour ball learning aids in the probability classroom made learning 372 fun and enjoyable. Their responses were:- "There are many games in the activities, we have a lot of 373 fun;", "We are learning while playing;", and "We enjoy learning with Colour Balls".

374

375 The activities had also fostered their cooperation and discussion in solving problems. Consequently 376 this had encouraged them to participate actively in the process of learning probability. They pointed out that:- "We have active discussions during group activities. We always work together;", "We no 377 longer sit quietly like before. We share our ideas in the group activities;", "We work in a very friendly 378 environment. We get to know one another better;", "I rarely talk to them. This is our first time working 379 380 together,", "We are able to get along with students who are not our good friends. I get to know more 381 friends now;", "If I do not know the answer, I can refer to friends for help;", "We help and support each 382 other so that all members can answer the given questions;", and "There is always a friend to offer 383 help whenever I encounter problems".

384

385 Students get to see that whatever they had studied could actually be applied to real-life situations. 386 Their feedbacks were: "I only get to know today that lucky draw is one type of probability;", and "I 387 know what it means when the weather forecast man says there's an 85% chance of rain today".

388

389 However, there was one big concern being brought out by more than half of the students: they 390 needed a longer time to complete their activities. They had this feeling simply because they needed a 391 lot of time to explain the materials to the weaker group members. They even mentioned that they felt 392 tired teaching group members who are too weak. Another concern was that they felt there were 393 tendencies in the group to be jealous of those groups who had won in the quiz. They pointed out that:-"Other groups do not like us to win in the quiz;", "We completed all the questions first, so for sure they 394 395 would not feel happy;" and "They say we are cheating!". Some others indicated that they had 396 difficulties understanding some of the questions in the module as no further explanation was provided 397 by the teachers.

398

399 On the other hand, the students had also offered some suggestions for improvement in response to 400 the open ended question. "In what ways can the CBCL activities be improved?" Almost all participants 401 proposed that numerous types and more Colour Balls learning aids be provided for the learning 402 session. Related suggestions were:- "The learning will be more intresting if numerous types of Colour 403 Balls were used;" and "I wish to see more balls in the kit". A couple of students had proposed that a 404 teacher should be there to guide them and discussion sessions should be held in order to explain the 405 difficult questions prior to the quiz.

- 406
- 407
- 408 409

H' V | H' WH: H: K

A	Benefits focusing on learning using CBCL method (No. of responses)
	The learning aids is simple, attractive and easy to manipulate (68)
	The activities make understanding probability easier(68)
	It was fun and enjoyable (72)
	Encourages us to discuss during learning and teaching session (67)
	Promotes friendship & cooperation among group members (58)
	Helps to understand the concept of probability (55)
	Related to daily life activities (43)
	Boost confidence to answer questions (70)
	Increases active participation in answering questions (69)
	Gains more interest in learning probability (75)
В	Negative aspects of CBCL learning (No. of responses)
	Some questions in the module were difficult to understand as explanation was not provid
	the teachers (5)
	Group tends to get jealous with the winning groups (34)
	Feels tired teaching group members who are too weak (22)
	Requires a longer time to complete the task (43)
С	Suggestions for improvement (No. of responses)
	More explanations needed from teacher for the difficult guestions (5)
	Creating a question and answer session prior to the quiz (8)
1	Provide more types and number of Colour Balls learning aids (75)

413

414 4. DISCUSSION

415

416 After conducting an analysis on the test scores, it was found that students who had participated in the 417 CBCL learning had performed significantly better on the probability post-test than the students who 418 studied in the CL group. It was also found that both groups performed significantly better on the post 419 test compared to the pre test.

420

421 The result of this study shows the effects of CBCL on student's performance in probability providing 422 optimistic support for this instructional method. More performance gains were observed in the CBCL 423 learning group. This indicated that many of the arts stream students in the study were able to move 424 'from the concrete representations to the more formal aspects of mathematics'. The main cause of 425 this change can be accredited to the active involvement of students in the manipulation of Colour Balls learning materials that is aided with STAD cooperative learning. This finding is consistent with 426 similar performance gains previously reported [30,54,27]. All the studies reported that the use of 427 428 concrete learning aids had helped students understand abstract mathematical concepts better. The 429 result was also supported by [15] in his research which states that concrete learning aids were able to 430 give concrete meaning of abstract concepts as oppose to teaching through words. In fact, Colour 431 Balls in CBCL method had increased the effect of STAD cooperative learning in learning probability. 432 As indicated in the student's written comments, colour Balls learning aids provide them with a clearer 433 picture of what sample space was and they had more opportunities to explore the sample space 434 freely through a variety of activities. Students also worked closely with their group members within 435 STAD cooperative learning group in answering questions. Weak students could seek help from more 436 capable peers when they encountered difficulties, thus boosting their confidence in solving problems. 437 This study environment and tasks given had helped to promote the understanding of the abstract 438 concepts of probability.

439

440 On the other hand, the findings had also reflected that there were significant differences between the 441 mean score of the pre and post test in the CL group. This result is in agreement with the learning

theories proposed by proponents of cooperative learning. According to Vygotsky [58], students are more capable to perform at higher intellectual levels when they were asked to work in cooperative situations than when asked to work individually. Group diversity in terms of knowledge and experience contributes positively to the learning process. The peer support system makes it possible for the learner to internalize external knowledge and to convert them into tools for intellectual functioning [10]

449 These findings were in line with the results of previous studies which found that the STAD method has 450 significantly boost the academic achievement compared to the traditional methods [2,3,59,33]. This 451 effect can be accredited to the provision of smaller groups in STAD learning which is characterized by 452 mutual interdependence of group members, individual accountability, peer pressure due to common 453 learning goals, continuous assessment and performance rewards. In the present study, each student 454 will not only be responsible for their own self-advancement, but will also help the weaker members of 455 the group to make sense of the probability being modelled. This was mainly to ensure their team goal 456 would be achieved, that was to gain the highest score in the quiz. As claimed by [5] and [34], each 457 group member will strive to help each other, give guidance, discuss, and motivate each other in order 458 to boost the performance of the cooperative learning group.

459

448

The analysis of open response showed that a majority of students had positive perception towards the use of CBCL method in learning probability. Most of them felt that the CBCL method was suitable for the topic of probability as it helped linking learning activities to probability concepts. The CBCL activity shows that learning an abstract topic like probability is perceived by most students as enjoyable and fun. Students found that the learning aids were simple, attractive and easy to be manipulated and thus attracting them to learn probability. Students also saw the relevance of colour balls activities to daily life activities which they are familiar with.

However, despite the strong support for the CBCL method, there were students who found limitations of this method such as:- a longer period of time needed in completing a task; fatigue in explaining to group members who are too weak; difficulties in understanding some questions in module; and the feeling of jealousy on the success of other groups. This suggested that the limitations mentioned may inhibit how a CBCL activity can be implemented effectively.

472 473

474 **5. CONCLUSION**

475

476 Concrete objects like colour balls are tools to support learning. As with any other educational tools, 477 the effects of colour balls are limited by the ways in which they are used. In order to maximize the 478 potential use of colour balls in the teaching and learning of probability, two instructional strategies 479 were employed to investigate the effects on student's performance in learning probability. This study 480 shows that the Colour Balls concrete learning aids, when incorporated with the STAD cooperative 481 learning method is an effective method in improving the performance of Form Four Arts Stream 482 students in the topic of probability. Colour Balls had increased the effect of STAD cooperative learning 483 in learning probability in CBCL method. The lesson was founded on familiar ideas from Piaget and 484 Vygotsky and assembled from locally available concrete learning objects that students were familiar 485 with or intuitively able to use. With a little effort, any mathematics teacher can now learn to build his or 486 her own Colour Balls learning aids that support and scaffold learning probability using STAD 487 cooperative learning method.

488

However, in future lessons where colour balls learning aids are used, the method should be improvised. For example, more preparations such as increasing the number and numerous types of colour balls are needed for effective group activities. This is to ensure that students use the aids effectively and efficiently in exploring the concept of probability. In addition, students need to be involved in a teacher guided sharing session to explain the difficult questions. Additional research that investigates the possible long-term effects of the CBCL method in teaching other mathematics topics can be conducted in the future.

496 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

497498 The author has declared that no competing interests exist.

- 499
- 500

555

556

REFERENCES 501 502 503 504 1. Ahlgren A, Garfield J. Analysis of the probability curriculum. In: Kapadia R, Borovcnik M, 505 editors, Chance encounters; probability in education, Dordrecht; Kluwer; 1991;107-134. 506 2. Artut PD. Experimental evaluation of the effects of cooperative learning on kindergarten 507 children's mathematics ability. International Journal of Educational Research. 2009;48:370-508 380. 509 3. Aydin S. Effect of cooperative learning and traditional methods on students' achievements 510 and identification of laboratory equipments in science-technology laboratory course. 511 Educational Research and Reviews. 2011;6 (9):636-644. 512 4. Ball D. Magical hopes: Manipulatives and the reform of math education. American Educator. 513 1992;6(2):14–18. 514 5. Bayraktar G. The effect of the cooperative learning on students' approach to general 515 gymnastics course and academic achievements. Educational Research and Reviews. 2011;6 516 (1):62-71.517 6. Bloom BS. Taxonomy of educational objectives, handbook 1: Cognitive domain. New York: 518 Longmans Green;1956. 519 7. Boggan M, Harper S, Whitmire A. Using manipulatives to teach elementary mathematics. 520 Journal of Instructional Pedagogies. 2010;3:1-6. 521 Boom J, Hoijtink H, Kunnen S. Rules in the balance: Classes, strategies, or rules for the 8. 522 balance scale task? Cognitive Development. 2001;16:717-735. 523 9. Bruner J. The process of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press;1960. 524 10. Bruner J. Vygotsky: An historical and conceptual perspective. Culture, communication, and 525 cognition: Vygotskian perspectives. London: Cambridge University Press; 1985:21-34. 526 11. Burgess T. Do games help the learning of probability? MERGA. 1999;22:121-128. 527 12. Cain-Caston M. Manipulative gueen [Electronic version]. Journal of Instructional Psychology. 528 1996;23(4):270-274. 13. Cantu LL, Herron JD. Concrete and formal Piagetian stages and science concept attainment. 529 530 Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 1978;15:135-143. 531 14. Castro MA. Preparing elementary preservice teachers to use mathematics curriculum 532 materials. The Mathematics Educator. 2006;16(2):14-24. 15. Cimer A. What makes biology learning difficult and effective: Students' views. Educational 533 534 Research and Reviews. 2012;7(3):61-71. 535 16. Cobb P, Yackel E, Wood T. A constructivist alternative to the representational view of mind in 536 mathematics education. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. 1992;23(1):2-33. 537 17. Dean AL, Frankhouser JR. Way stations in the development of children's proportionality 538 concepts: the stage issue revisited. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology. 1988;46:129-539 149. 540 18. DelMas R, Liu Y. Exploring students' conceptions of the standard deviation. Statistics 541 Education Research Journal. 2005;4(1):55-82. 542 19. Derry SJ, Levin JR, Osana HP, Jones MS. Developing Middle School Students' Statistical 543 Reasoning Through Simulation Gaming. In: Lajoie SJ, editor. Reflections on Statistics: 544 Agendas for Learning, Teaching, and Assessment in K-12. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum 545 Associates; 1998. 546 20. Gani Hamzah MS, Abdullah SK. Teachers' Teaching Status and Achievements of Students of 547 Teaching and Learning of Mathematics and Science in English (PPSMI) in Primary and Rural 548 Secondary Schools. European Journal of Social Sciences. 2009;10(1):143-161. 549 21. Gravemeijer K. Mediating between the concrete and the abstract. In: Nunes T, Bryant P, 550 editors. Learning and teaching mathematics: an international perspective. Hove: Psychology Press; 1997. 551 552 22. Harries T, Spooner M. Mental mathematics for the numeracy hour. London: David Fulton; 553 2000. 554

- Hart K, Johnston D, Brown M, Dickson L, Clarkson R. Children's mathematical frameworks 8-13: A study of classroom teaching. Nottingham: Shell Centre for Mathematical Education; 1989.
- 557 24. Heller P, Keith R, Anderson S. Teaching problem solving through cooperative grouping. Part
 558 1: Group versus individual problem solving. American Journal of Physics Teachers. 1992;60:
 559 627-636.
- 560 25. HodnikČadež T, Škrbec M. Understanding the Concepts in Probability of Pre-School and
 561 Early School Children. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education.
 562 2011;7(4):263-279.

563 564	26.	Inhelder B, Piaget J. The growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence. New York:
565	27	Ismail 7 Kasmin MK Using cognitive tools to enhance understanding in differential
505	21.	oguationa, Jurnal Taknologi 2009:49(E):161 169
500	20	Leacha M. Cauca N. Vakalian N. Taaching Learning Duramian A. Darticinative Approach for
507	28.	Jacobs M, Gawe N, Vakalisa N. Teaching-Learning Dynamics. A Participative Approach for OPE Cape Town: Heinemann Publishers: 2002
508	20	ODE. Cape Town. Heinemann Publishers, 2003.
509	29.	Cooperative learning: Theory and research, New York: Praeger: 1000: 23.37
570	30	Kamina P. Iver NN. From concrete to abstract: Teaching for transfer of learning when using
572	50.	manipulatives NERA Conference Proceedings 2009 Paper 6 Accessed 02 June 2013
573		Available: http://digitalcommons.uconn.edu/nera_2009/6
574	31.	Kapadia R. A brief survey of research on probabilistic notions. In: Bell A. Low B. Kilpatrick J.
575		editors. Theory, research, and practice in mathematical education. Nottingham, UK: Shell
576		Centre for Mathematical Education; 1985: 261-265.
577	32.	Kelly CA. Using manipulatives in mathematical problem solving: A performance based
578		analysis [Electronic version]. The Montana Mathematics Enthusiast. 2006;3(2):184-193.
579	33.	Khan GN, Inamullah HM. Effect of students' team achievement division (STAD) on academic
580		achievement of students. Asian Social Sciences. 2011;7(12):211-215.
581	34.	Kolawole EB. Effects of competititve and cooperative learning strategies on academic
582		performance of Nigerian students in mathematics. Review of Educational Research. 2008;3
583		(1):33-37.
584	35.	Lamon SJ. Rational numbers and proportional reasoning: Towards a theoretical framework
585		for research. In: Lester FK, editor. Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching
586	~~~	and learning. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing; 2007:629–667.
587	36.	Lesn R, Post I, Benr M. Proportional reasoning. In: Hiebert J, Benr M, editors. Number
588	27	Concepts and operations in the middle grades. Reston, Lawrence Endaum, 1988.93-118.
589	37.	2.12 Education 1007:25(2):21.25
590	38	3-13. Education, 1997,23(3).31-33. Malaysian Ministry of Education. Integrated curriculum for secondary schools: Mathematics
592	50.	Curriculum Specification, Putraiava: Curriculum Department Centre: 2006
593	39	McLoughlin C. Oliver R. Maximising the language and learning link in computer learning
594	00.	environments British Journal of Educational Technology 1998;29(2):125-136
595	40.	McNeil NM. Uttal DH. Jarvin L. Sternberg RJ. Should you show me the money? Concrete
596		objects both hurt and help performance on mathematics problems. Learning and Instruction.
597		2009;19:171-184.
598	41.	Moyer PS. Are we having fun yet? How teachers use manipulatives to teach perspective on
599		the use of concrete objects to teach mathematics. Journal of Educational Studies in
600		Mathematics. 2001;47:175-197.
601	42.	Moore DS. Uncertainty. In: Steen LA, editor. On the shoulders of giants: New approaches to
602		numeracy. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1990:95-117.
603	43.	Piaget J, Inhelder B. The Origin of The Idea of Chance In: Lowell L, Burrell JrP, Fishbein H.
604		Children. London: Routledge (French Original 1951); 1975.
605	44.	Plaget J. The origins of intelligence in children. New York: International Universities Press;
606	45	1952. Disect I. The Development of intelligence, London: Devtladge & Karon Devil I TD: 4074
607	45.	Plaget J. The Psychology of Intelligence. London: Routledge & Ragan Paul LTD; 1971.
608	40.	Plaget J, Glize JB, Szellinska A, Dalig V. Epistemology and the psychology of functions.
610	17	Signler PS Developmental sequences within and between concents. Monographs of the
611	47.	Society for Research in Child Development 1081:189:46(2)
612	48	Slavin RE Cooperative learning and the cooperative school Educational Leadership 1987
613	10.	45(3):7-13
614	49.	Slavin RE. Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research and Practice, Englewood Cliffs, NJ:
615		Prentice Hall; 1990.
616	50.	Slavin RE. Outcome-based education is not mastery learning. Educational Leadership. 1994;
617		51(6):14.
618	51.	Sowell EJ. Effects of manipulative materials in mathematics instruction. Journal of Research
619		in Mathematics Education. 1989;20:489-505.
620	52.	Stonewater JK, Stonewater BB. Teaching problem solving: implications from cognitive
621		development research. AAHE Bulletin. 1984;36(6):7-10.
622	53.	Tarmizi RA, Bayat S. Collaborative problem-based learning in mathematics: A cognitive load
623		perspective. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2012;32:344-350.

54. Thompson PW. Concrete materials and teaching for mathematical understanding. Arithmetic Teacher. 1994;41(9):556-558.
55. Uttal DH, Scudder KV, DeLoache JS. Manipulatives as symbols: A new perspective on the use of concrete objects to teach mathematics. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology. 1997;18:37-54.
56. Van Wyk MM. Do student teams achievement divisions enhance economic literacy? A quasi-

 bo. van vvyk iviivi. Do student teams achievement divisions enhance economic literacy? A quasiexperimental design. Journal of Social Science. 2010;23(2):83-89.
 57. Vaushan W. Effecte of economic literacy is a statistical sector.

- 57. Vaughan W. Effects of cooperative learning on achievement and attitude among students of color. The Journal of Educational Research. 2002;95(6):359-364.
- Vygotsky L. Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press. Wadsworth Publishing Company; 1978.
- 59. Zakaria E, Chin CL, Daud YM. The effects of cooperative learning on students' mathematics achievement and attitude toward mathematics. Journals of Social Sciences. 2010;6(2):272-275.

638 639

630

631 632

633

634

635

636

637

640

.