



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_11887
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporating e-mail in teaching activities of the nutrition program at College for Women, Kuwait University: Assessment of a 5-year experience
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Apart from the topic, the entire paper needs to be restructured. Follow Journal style of abstract and referencing.	The paper has been restructured and according to the Journal style.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Discuss you paper in line with your result and objectives.	The manuscript has been revised and the point you raised were most valuable in that process.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The need to be improved on technically before publication. A lot of the statements that were not referenced needs to be referenced as I highlighted them.	I believe that the revised paper has improved technically, including most of the referencing points that you raised.