



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Education, Society &amp; Behavioural Science</a>                                                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2014_BJESBS_11887                                                                                                                               |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Incorporating e-mail in teaching activities of the nutrition program at College for Women, Kuwait University: Assessment of a 5-year experience |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                                                                 |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | An interesting study on the potentials of implementing technology to enhance educational outcomes. However, the paper can be improved in term of structure (headings) for clarity. I refer to the inclusion of headings such as Introduction (included), Literature Review, Setting and Context, Methodology, Results and Discussion (included) and Conclusion (included). The References section should ideally begin on a separate page.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | The manuscript has been revised to conform to your most valuable comments and suggestions.                                                                                                                                          |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. After the INTRODUCTION, insert the LITERATURE REVIEW sentences 178-238.</li> <li>2. The current METHODS (78-132) section should be re-named SETTING and CONTEXT and placed after LITERATURE REVIEW.</li> <li>3. The METHODOLOGY (METHODS) section should begin at # 133 with the sentences 145-148.</li> <li>4. (RESULTS &amp;) DISCUSSION should begin at sentence 239.</li> <li>5. The word "and" between parentheses becomes "&amp;" e.g. #231 and # 234.</li> <li>6. Some years of publication are missing e.g. Forest; Hannon # 63, Ridell #194, Nagel #221.</li> <li>7. Check spelling e.g. "complimenting" instead of "complementing" #173.</li> </ol> | <p>These guides were very helpful in improving the revised version of the manuscript.</p> <p><b>This is most appreciated.</b></p>                                                                                                   |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>Given the nature of the study on the successful diffusion and implementation of emails (through utilization and satisfaction) as an innovation, it might be useful to refer to the theory of Diffusion of Innovation in the Literature Review section particularly it relates to the Relative Advantage of the innovation/technology (emails) which is borne out in the study.</p> <p>No ethical issues are evident as the study is approved and supported by a grant from the university</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <p>This is a great comment and worthy considering. Everett Rogers Theory of Diffusion of Innovation was mentioned in the introduction section of the revised manuscript.</p> <p><b>Thank you very much for this suggestion.</b></p> |