



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_11887
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporating e-mail in teaching activities of the nutrition program at College for Women, Kuwait University: Assessment of a 5-year experience

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author did a better work this time but the paper needs some corrections which I have indicated in the paper. Afterwards, the article can be published.	• Many thanks for all your help to me to improve my manuscript. Your effort and time spent in this regard are greatly appreciated. • The corrections that you have suggested have been made and all the tables of this paper followed the example that you kindly made in a uniform fashion. • The term "data mining" refers to searching for all e-mail messages in all of the instructor's e-mail accounts – as well as in the different e-mail accounts of courses for all messages from the instructor. • There was no particular statistical analysis technique (such as SPSS) used in this study – thus, there was no mention of any being used. Basically, data of all retrieved e-mail messages (sent by instructor and sent to instructor by students) and the data of the student survey were entered in Microsoft Excel sheets - where they were counted and expressed as numbers and percentages in tables. This was added to the manuscript in two (2) places: in the abstract and in methodology sections. • Thank you very much for your endorsement of my paper to be published.