



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_11887
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporating e-mail in teaching activities of the nutrition program at College for Women, Kuwait University: Assessment of a 5-year experience

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I feel sufficiently satisfied that my suggestions were incorporated to improve the academic quality of the paper both in terms of content and presentation (structure/format). Consequently, it fulfils the established requirements of acceptable research design in empirical studies. However, the References section does not conform to the conventions of APA style manual.	• Thank you very much for all of your comments and suggestions that helped greatly in improving my manuscript. • Many thanks for your endorsement for my manuscript to be published. • As for the listing of references, I wish to point out the following: ◦ In revising the manuscript, I used the “manuscript template” (with examples) as a guide. ◦ I, also, followed the listing of references in three (3) recently-published papers in the journal. • I will work very closely with the publishing editor(s) and any instructions given – in the usual fashion when the galley-proof is available. • Once again, your comments and suggestions are greatly appreciated.