

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_12152
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Proenvironmental Attitudes in College Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I will explain the coherence thing with an example. What you write under heading 1.1 could have been part of heading 2.2.1. What you write under heading 1.2 and 1.3 could be under 1.1. Moreover, the factors identified in the meta analytic study of environmental attitudes mentioned under 1.3, could be shown as headings e.g demographic factors, personality and cognitive factors, social cues, and positive exposure to nature could be highlighted as headings since they are an essential part of the study. This would make the elaboration of concepts more comprehensible to an average reader.	I see, thank you. 1.3 is now divided into demographic factors, followed by positive exposure in nature. The next (1.3.3) is now the cognitive/personality factors. Because the Theory of Reasoned Action is inherently cognitive, this discussion is under cognitive factors. However, as to 1.1--Because the study is about the three types of environmental attitudes, I believe that need to be left in the very start of the paper, in the literature introduction, rather than being solely relegated into the method only. It was our intent that they be more important than merely methodological, that they are also theoretically a key issue.