



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_12574
Title of the Manuscript:	PSYCHOLOGICAL CAPITAL: Capital in terms of Psychology
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The entire manuscript requires correcting for grammar and spelling. For example: there is no such word as 'characteristichs' (line 50). Other examples: 'shown up (line 17), there are an importance (line 27), paragraph between line 42- 48. A comprehensive list of the grammatical errors is beyond the job of the reviewer however it significantly impacts on the reader's ability to comprehend what is being expressed. The components of psychological capital should be dealt with in consecutive separate sections rather than being referred to repeatedly which is difficult for the reader to retain (i.e. efficacy, hope, resilience, optimism etc) The title should be reviewed ...what is meant by 'Capital in terms of Psychology' ? The aim of the paper is worthwhile and it just requires some significant corrections and restructuring as outlined above	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Ireland