



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_13018
Title of the Manuscript:	CAN GENDER AFFECT PRINCIPALSHIP? LESSONS FROM RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: The abstract has a few errors and missing punctuation marks. These discrepancies must be rectified. Problem and setting: This section has several errors, incorrectly constructed sentences and missing punctuation marks. It requires rigorous editing and proofreading. Management by Objectives: The reference cited in this discussion is outdated. Use a more recent reference. Feminist Theory: In-text references have been omitted in this discussion. Include the relevant in-text references. Methodology: Correct all errors. Conclusion for Hypothesis One: Correct the incorrectly constructed sentence. Hypothesis Three: Correct the error in this sentence. Discussion: Correct all sentences that have been incorrectly constructed. Conclusion and Recommendations: Correct all incorrectly constructed sentences.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	References: Recheck and ensure that every reference is correctly presented, particularly in terms of punctuation marks for the initials of authors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study has presented some interesting findings. However, in view of several discrepancies pertaining to sentence construction, punctuation and referencing, I recommend that the author/s should secure the services of a professional editor and proofreader. The final submission must be error-free.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer