



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_11381
Title of the Manuscript:	An absolute deviation approach to assessing correlation
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. A comparison of alternative Pearson R evaluation should be done with statistical data gathered in real world contexts 2. The author should also indicate (using the field data as above) in probabilistic norms, how closely or otherwise these three evaluations depict the correlation between two populations vis-à-vis statistical divergence value such as Kullback-Leibler measure	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Perambur S. Neelakanta
Department, University & Country	CEECS Department, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, USA