SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJESBS_12574**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PSYCHOLOGICAL CAPITAL: Capital in terms of Psychology**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The authors should clarify the criteria used to select the studies to include in the review.** **The authors should explain why they did not mention Carol Ryff's concept of psychological well-being.** **The authors often address their suggestion to managers. It seems that they consider that only the managers can contribute building the positive psychological capital. An explanation is warranted.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is long and wordy. The reader could easily maintain high the attention if the text would be shortened. When the results of a previous study are presented, it is preferable to use the past tense rather than the present tense.	
Optional/General comments	**Please eliminate typographical errors and, although rare, grammatical ones.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Fiammetta Cosci**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Italy**