

A homotopy continuation approach for testing a basic analog circuit

H. Vazquez-Leal^{1*}, A. Sarmiento-Reyes², U. Filobello-Nino¹, Y. Khan³,
A.L. Herrera-May⁴, R. Castaneda-Sheissa¹, V.M. Jimenez-Fernandez¹,
J. Sanchez-Orea¹

¹Electronic Instrumentation School and Atmospheric Sciences School, University of Veracruz, Gonzalo Aguirre Beltrán S/N, Xalapa, Veracruz, Mexico 91000

²Electronics Department, National Institute for Astrophysics, Optics and Electronics, Sta. Maria Tonantzintla, Puebla, Mexico 72000

³Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

⁴Micro and Nanotechnology Research Center, University of Veracruz, Boca del Rio, Veracruz, Mexico 94292

ABSTRACT

The increase of complexity on integrated circuits has also raised the demand for new testing methodologies capable to detect functional failures within circuits before they reach the market. Hence, this work proposes to explore the use of homotopy as a tool for testing a basic analog circuit. The homotopy path is influenced by nonlinearities from the equilibrium equation of the circuit; this situation can be used to infer faults by detecting changes on the homotopy path. The concept was explored using numerical simulation of a simple test circuit; then comparing results for the circuit with and without faults, obtaining modifications on the homotopy path like: the final point, number of iterations, and the number of turning points.

Keywords: Homotopy continuation; circuit testing; analog circuits

1. INTRODUCTION

The vertiginous increase in the number of transistors per integrated circuit and increase of nonlinearities, present in the circuit, due to the decrease in the physical dimensions of the transistors, makes the integrated circuit testing area a challenge for engineers and scientists. The cost of testing an integrated circuit can represent, in average, the 50% for the total production cost, or even in some cases (specific circuits) represents up to 70% [1,2,3,4]. The development of strategies for integrated circuits testing; especially analog circuits or mixed signal, still is an open problem. These kinds of tests must assure complete functionality, quality and performance criterion, for each functional block, and the correct operation for the complete system, as well. Analog circuits are characterized by nonlinear characteristics, noise, bandwidth, and a wide variety of performance parameters. All of this becomes a constraint when developing fault simulators and reliable testing algorithms.

The homotopy continuation methods have been applied to various branches of science and engineering [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22]. In [23] was presented a verification method of diagnosis for analog piecewise linear circuits based on the homotopy

approach; here, the circuit is tested by homotopy transforming it from the fault-less state into a fault state. Thus, by monitoring electric variables, it is possible to detect value changes between states. Nevertheless, this testing approach requires PWL modelling of the circuit and ignores the entire behaviour of the homotopy path; thus, only cares about the nominal operating points between states.

Homotopy paths tend to be attracted by the traces formed by the intersection of equations of the equilibrium equation [24]. Hence, if faults directly affect the nonlinearities of the equilibrium equation, therefore, the homotopy path is influenced by nonlinearities from the equilibrium equation; this situation can be used to infer faults detecting behaviour changes on the homotopy path. Therefore, this work will present a study on how the homotopy path is affected by faults during DC analysis in parameters like: final point, number of iterations, and the number of turning points obtained for a basic multistable [25,26,27] circuit in fault-less and fault state.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we present the basic idea of proposed double bounded homotopy. Section 4 shows some numerical simulations to study the effects of faults over homotopy trajectories and discuss the results. Finally, a brief conclusion is given in Section 5.

58
59

60 2. BASIS OF HOMOTOPY CONTINUATION METHODS

61

The homotopy continuation methods (HCM) are a continuous transformation from one trivial problem (simple to solve) to the study problem (hard to solve). These kind of methods are applied to such diverse problems like: multi-stable electronic circuits [16,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38], Toeplitz systems, nonlinear control synthesis [39], stochastic economies [40], load flow solutions of ill-conditioned power systems [41], discretization of ordinary differential equations [42], inverse kinematics problems [43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50], optimization [51,52], among many others.

69

First, we define our problem to solve, the nonlinear algebraic equation

71

$$f(x) = 0, \quad f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

72

where x denotes the variables of the problem and n is the total number of those variables.

74

Then, in order to solve (2.1), we propose the following homotopy map [19,21,22,28,29,31,32,47,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62] as

77

$$H(f(x), \lambda) = 0, \quad H: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

78

where λ is the homotopy parameter.

80

Equation (2.2) represents any homotopy formulation that fulfils the following conditions:

82

- 83 • For $\lambda = 0$, solution for $H^{-1}(0)$ is known or easily found using numerical methods.
- 84 • For $\lambda = 1, H(f(x), 1) = f(x)$. It means that at $\lambda = 1$ the solution, or solutions, for $f(x)$ can be found.
- 85 • The path for $H^{-1}(0)$ is a continuous function of λ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

87

88 The homotopy path is the solution set for $H^{-1}(0)$, which represents a continuous curve that
89 can be traced by numerical continuation techniques or path following methods
90 [5,16,21,33,48,49,63,64,65].

91
92 A possible homotopy map is
93

$$H(f(x), \lambda) = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(x) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

94
95 where $g(x)$ is a problem simple to solve.
96 If $\lambda = 0$, the homotopy path is reduced to the trivial problem
97

$$H(x, 0) = g(x) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

98
99 When $\lambda = 1$, the sought solution is achieved
100

$$H(x, 1) = f(x) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

101
102 This process is a continuous deformation from $\lambda = 0$ to $\lambda = 1$, transforming the trivial
103 problem $g(x) = 0$ into the original problem $f(x) = 0$.
104

105 The success of finding the sought solution depends on several factors:
106

- 107 • Find the methodology to establish the right NAEs which best describe the physical
108 behaviour of the problem under study. The proper formulation of those NAEs can
109 help to guarantee, or increase the probability, of success of the homotopy simulation
110 [cite].
- 111 • The behaviour of different homotopy maps change for specific problems.
- 112 • Constructing an adequate numerical continuation algorithm. Even if the homotopy
113 path and NAEs are properly established with the guarantee of global convergence
114 [31,66], a poorly chosen numerical continuation scheme can lead to a failure
115 [5,16,21,33,63,65].
116

117 Homotopy is capable to find multiple solutions; nevertheless, the ability to find all or even
118 one of the solutions depends on the kind of homotopy, the selected continuation technique
119 [16,33], and on the type of nonlinear circuit. To be able to find multiple solutions, all the steps
120 for the numerical continuation method [5,16,33] must be applied until the root at $\lambda = 1$ is
121 located. Nonetheless, the tracing technique continues its route for values $\lambda > 1$ up to a
122 turning point and then returns back to $\lambda = 1$ finding the next solution (when another solution
123 exist). In Figure 1 a homotopy path is shown; here, the path locates solutions at
124 x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^* , and x_4^* . However, after crossing the root x_4^* the path continues indefinitely as
125 apparently there are no more solutions that produce more crossings at $\lambda = 1$.
126

127 3. PROPOSED DBPH METHOD FOR ANALOG CIRCUIT TESTING

128
129 In [28,29] was introduced the idea to create a double bounded homotopy; a way to cope with
130 the stop criterion is by bending the open solution path to convert it into a closed path as
131 depicted in Figure 2. This is achieved by setting four solution lines in terms of a fixed
132 separation d as shown in Figure 2. Further properties of this scheme are given as follows:
133

- 134 • At $\lambda_i = d/2$ the symmetry axis is defined (starting point A).
- 135 • For λ_i the solution for $H^{-1}(0)$ is known or computationally simple to obtain. This
136 point is known as the initial point for the homotopy (λ_i, x_i) .
- 137 • At $\lambda = 0$, the homotopy formulation becomes

138

$$H(f(x), 0) = f(x) = 0.$$

139

This means that at $\lambda = 0$ all solutions for $f(x)$ are located.

140

- Similarly, at $\lambda = d$, the homotopy fulfils

141

$$H(f(x), d) = f(x) = 0,$$

142

which means that at $\lambda = d$ all solutions for $f(x)$ are located.

143

- The expressions above define two symmetric branches; the left branch for $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_i$ and the right branch for $\lambda_i \leq \lambda \leq d$.

144

145

- When a given branch reaches again the value λ_i (final point B), the homotopy procedure stops, achieving a simple stop criterion. In Figure 2, the path starts at point A and stops at point B .

146

147

148

- The path for the inverse function $H^{-1}(0)$ is a continuous function for λ in the range of $0 \leq \lambda \leq d$.

149

150

151




Figure 1: Stop criterion problem.

Not only the properties shown above yield a simple and reliable stop criterion, but they also establish two solution lines that, in fact, limit the swing of the homotopy parameter variation.

Figure 2 shows how the homotopy path starts at $A = (d/2, x_i)$ on the symmetry axis, finds two roots (in solution line $\lambda = d$) and finishes when a new crossing through the symmetry axis at $B = (d/2, x_f)$ is detected; it means that tracing the symmetrical branch has been completed and the stop criterion has been fulfilled.




Figure 2: Double bounded homotopy with four solution lines.

The DBPH homotopy method [29] is a kind of double bounded homotopy, which is proposed to infer the existence of faults

$$f(x) = (\lambda + d)\lambda(\lambda - d)(\lambda - 2d)(x - x_i)(x - x_f) - c\left(\lambda - \frac{d}{2}\right)^2 f(x)^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where λ is the homotopy parameter, $f(x)$ is the equilibrium equation for the circuit, d is a constant that represents separation between solution lines ($\lambda = -d$, $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = d$, and $\lambda = 2d$) [29], x_i represents the initial point, x_f represents the final point of the path at $\lambda = d/2$ (symmetry axis), and c is another constant.

This method is characterized by creating an arbitrary closed path around the symmetry axis; this situation allows for an arbitrary set of starting points for the path.

A fault or fabrication defect can be ideally modelled as the unexpected existence of spurious resistors between nodes in the circuit. It may happen, as an example, the appearance of a spurious resistor between the i -th node and ground. This will affect, directly, the equilibrium equation, in particular the nodal equation f_i . As smooth as this change may appear, it could unchain a series of changes in the shape of the homotopy path. This way, it is possible to correlate an alteration in the homotopy path with the presence of faults.

In this work we use the Euler-predictor and Newton-corrector scheme described in [16], which is based on other reports from [5,65], to obtain the numerical simulations.

4. NUMERICAL SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

The benchmark circuit with bipolar transistors in Figure 2 was reported and solved using HCM methods [29,30]. The Ebers-Moll [31] model is used for all the transistors; and the equation for the model is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_E \\ i_C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.01 \\ -0.99 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10^{-9}(e^{(40v_{be})} - 1) \\ 10^{-9}(e^{(40v_{bc})} - 1) \end{bmatrix},$$

where i_E represents the emitter current, i_C represents the collector current, v_{be} is the voltage drop between base and emitter, and v_{bc} is the voltage drop between base and collector.




Figure 3: Circuit under test (contains three operating points). $R_f = 11k\Omega$ models a possible fault between node v_8 and ground.

Table 1: a) Initial point (x_A and x_B) and final points (x_{f1x} and x_{f2x}) for the paths. b) Solutions for the circuit with (S_{xF}) and without fault (S_x).

x	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7	v_8	v_9	v_{10}	v_{11-13}	$I_{V_{CC}}$	T.P.	Iter.
x_A	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+		
x_{f1A}	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	5	15522
x_{f2A}	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	5	12753
x_B	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+		
x_{f1B}	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	11	28532
x_{f2B}	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	15	26726

(a)

R.P.	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7
S_1	12	0.405	0.366	0.685	0.349	6.796	0.070
S_{1F}	12	0.40237	0.36085	0.68080	0.34736	6.40881	0.06886
S_2	12	0.883	0.278	0.590	0.631	0.812	0.315
S_{2F}	12	0.86554	0.27808	0.59147	0.62122	0.81387	0.30548
S_3	12	5.995	0.085	0.368	0.712	0.436	0.390
S_{3F}	12	6.06730	0.08213	0.36504	0.70724	0.43067	0.38564
R.P.	v_8	v_9	v_{10}	v_{11}	v_{12}	v_{13}	I_E
S_1	7.038	11.839	0.4E-5	0.039	0.039	0.321	-0.0085
S_{1F}	3.14292	11.7142	0.3E-5	0.03884	0.03874	0.32149	-0.00874

* Tel.: +52 228 842 17 00; fax: +52 228 842 17 00.

E-mail address: hvazquez@uv.mx

S_2	1.074	11.647	0.4E-5	0.039	0.039	0.321	-0.0100
S_{2F}	1.06759	11.6472	0.3E-5	0.03884	0.03874	0.32149	-0.01009
S_3	0.699	11.635	0.4E-5	0.039	0.039	0.321	-0.0089
S_{3F}	0.68858	11.6350	0.3E-5	0.03884	0.03874	0.32149	-0.00889

(b)

As for the diode, the model is given by

$$i_d = 10^{-9}(e^{40u} - 1),$$

where u is the voltage drop between diode terminals and id is the current through the diode.

First, the equilibrium equation for the fault-free circuit is formulated using the modified nodal analysis [32]; the result is a system having 14 equations and 14 variables

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1) \quad & (1.85E-3)v_1 - (2.5E-4)v_2 - (2.5E-4)v_6 - (1E-3)v_9 - (2.5E-4)v_{12} - \\
& (1E-4)v_{13} + i_E = 0, \\
f_2) \quad & -(2.5E-4)v_1 + (3.75E-4)v_2 - (1.25E-4)v_5 + (9.9E-9)\exp(40v_4 - 40v_3) + \\
& (1E-10) - (1E-8)\exp(40v_4 - 40v_2) = 0, \\
f_3) \quad & (1E-2)v_3 - (1E-8)\exp(40v_4 - 40v_3) + (9.9E-9) + (1E-10)\exp(40v_4 - \\
& 40v_2) = 0, \\
f_4) \quad & (1.25E-4)v_4 - (1.25E-4)v_6 + (1E-10)\exp(40v_4 - 40v_3) - (1E-8) + (9.9E- \\
& 9)\exp(40v_4 - 40v_2) = 0, \\
f_5) \quad & -(1.25E-4)v_2 + (1.25E-4)v_5 + (1E-10)\exp(40v_5 - 40v_7) - (1E-8) + \\
& (9E-9)\exp(40v_5 - 40v_6) = 0, \\
f_6) \quad & -(2.5E-4)v_1 - (1.25E-4)v_4 + (3.75E-4)v_6 + (9.9E-9)\exp(40v_5 - 40v_7) + \\
& (1.01E-8) - (1E-8)\exp(40v_5 - 40v_6) - (1E-8)\exp(40v_8 - 40v_6) = 0, \\
f_7) \quad & (1E-2)v_7 - (1E-8)\exp(40v_5 - 40v_7) + (9.9E-9) + (1E-10)\exp(40v_5 - \\
& 40v_6) = 0, \\
f_8) \quad & (30E3)^{-1}v_8 - (30E3)^{-1}v_9 + (1E-8)\exp(40v_8 - 40v_6) - (1E-8) = 0, \\
f_9) \quad & -(1E-3)v_1 - (30E3)^{-1}v_8 + (31)(30E3)^{-1}v_9 + (9.9E-9)\exp(40v_{11} - 40v_{10}) + \\
& (1E-10) - (1E-8)\exp(40v_{11} - 40v_9) = 0, \\
f_{10}) \quad & (1E-2)v_{10} - (1E-8)\exp(40v_{11} - 40v_{10}) + (9.9E-9) + (1E-10)\exp(40v_{11} - \\
& 40v_9) = 0, \\
f_{11}) \quad & (1E-4)v_{11} - (1E-4)v_{12} + (1E-10)\exp(40v_{11} - 40v_{10}) - (1E-8) + \\
& (9.9E-9)\exp(40v_{11} - 40v_9) = 0, \\
f_{12}) \quad & -(2.5E-4)v_1 - (1E-4)v_{11} + (3.5E-4)v_{12} + (9.9E-9)\exp(40v_{13}) + \\
& (1E-10) - (1E-8)\exp(40v_{13} - 40v_{12}) = 0, \\
f_{13}) \quad & -(1E-4)v_1 + (1.1E-3)v_{13} + (1E-10)\exp(40v_{13}) - (1E-8) + (9.9E- \\
& 9)\exp(40v_{13} - 40v_{12}) = 0, \\
f_{14}) \quad & v_1 - 12 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Figure 3 shows a hypothetical resistor ($R_f = 11k\Omega$) representing a fault between node 8 and ground; acting as a current leak to the ground. The existence of the fault implies that, for the circuit in a fault state, this term will be added

$$+ \frac{1}{R_f} v_8$$

to nodal equation f_8 within equilibrium equation (4.1).

* Tel.: +52 228 842 17 00; fax: +52 228 842 17 00.

E-mail address: hvazquez@uv.mx

Initial point for DBPH homotopy is chosen at $\pm 13V$, for nodal values, and $\pm 13A$ for the current $I_{V_{CC}}$ of voltage source V_{CC} . Initial points x_A and x_B are shown in Table 1(a), just marking the corresponding sign (plus sign means +13, while minus sign means -13; the units would be Volts or Amperes depending whether is voltage or current, respectively).

Now, DBPH homotopy is applied to solve the circuit; the proposed homotopy formulation is expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_1) \quad & (\lambda_1 + 1)\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1 - 2)(v_1 - 13)(v_1 + 13) + (\lambda_1 - 0.5)^2 f_1^2 = 0, \\ H_2) \quad & (\lambda_1 + 1)\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1 - 2)(v_2 - 13)(v_2 + 13) + (\lambda_1 - 0.5)^2 f_2^2 = 0, \\ & \vdots \\ H_{13}) \quad & (\lambda_1 + 1)\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1 - 2)(v_{13} - 13)(v_{13} + 13) + (\lambda_1 - 0.5)^2 f_{13}^2 = 0, \\ H_{14}) \quad & (\lambda_1 + 1)\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1 - 2)(I_E - 13)(I_E + 13) + (\lambda_1 - 0.5)^2 f_{14}^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

232

233 where, by simplification, parameters d and C are both set to 1.

234 After numerical simulation there are some aspects of the results to be noticed:

235

- 236 1. Two sets of simulations (A and B) were performed, using two different initial points (x_A
237 and x_B) for the circuits with and without fault (see Table 1(b) and Figures 3 and 4). For
238 both cases, differences on the final point of the path were detected. For instance,
239 between final points $x_{f_{1A}}$ (non-fault circuit) and $x_{f_{2A}}$ (fault circuit) there is a difference on
240 the final point for nodal voltage v_5 . Also, for final points $x_{f_{1B}}$ (non-fault circuit) and $x_{f_{2B}}$
241 (fault circuit) a noticeable difference is detected because sign changes for the final nodal
242 voltages v_2, v_4, v_6, v_7 , and v_9 . Therefore, a fault can modify the final point of the homotopy
243 path; this situation can be used as criteria to detect faults.
- 244 2. For all cases (circuits with and without fault) three operating points were located (see
245 Table 1(b)). Therefore, the number of located roots may not necessarily be used as an
246 indicator or criterion of the existence of faults. Nevertheless, between solutions S_1 and
247 S_{1F} a noticeable change on nodal voltage v_8 is perceived because the fault is located,
248 precisely, between v_8 and ground.
- 249 3. The shape of the homotopy path for the circuit without fault (see Figure 3(a), (b) and
250 Figure 4(a), (b)), differs with respect to the homotopy path for a fault circuit (see Figure
251 3(c), (d) and Figure 4(c), (d)). The change with respect to the homotopy may be
252 quantified by monitoring the number of iteration steps for the numerical continuation
253 method [28, 33] and the number of turning points as a reference or indicator for fault
254 detection. In Table 1(a) can be seen that the number of iterations and the number of
255 turning points vary for the homotopy simulation between the circuits with and without
256 fault. This situation confirms the existence of differences in the nonlinearities of the
257 equilibrium equation for circuits with and without fault; differences that produce, in the
258 end, changes on the homotopy paths.

259

260 This work exhibited that aspects like final point for the homotopy path; number of turning
261 points, and number of iterations can reflect the existence of circuit faults. Also, the present
262 study can be extended to use other homotopies like Newton homotopy [33], fixed point [30],
263 among others, in order to determine which homotopy is the most sensitive to faults. In
264 addition, future research may extend the application of the proposed study to practical
265 switching circuits and, in particular, fault models [67,68].

266

267

5. CONCLUSION

This work deals with the application of homotopy to the analog circuit testing area, proposing the feasibility to detect the presence of faults using the homotopy path analysis, involving turning points, number of iterations, and final point of the path. Results indicate that the aforementioned aspects undergo notorious changes comparing circuit simulations with and without fault. Therefore, it is important to continue the research in order to implement a systematic criterion to locate faults.




Figure 4: Homotopy paths $\lambda - v_2$ for initial point at x_A : (a), (b) the fault-free circuit and (c), (d) fault circuit.


286

287

288

289

290



291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

Figure 5: Homotopy paths $\lambda - v_2$ for initial point at x_B : (a), (b) the fault-free circuit and (c), (d) fault circuit.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge Rogelio A. Callejas-Molina and Roberto Ruiz-Gomez for their technical support. Besides, this work has been supported by CONACYT Mexico under research grant CB-2010-01#157024.

REFERENCES

- [1] International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS), Test and Test

* Tel.: +52 228 842 17 00; fax: +52 228 842 17 00.

E-mail address: hvazquez@uv.mx

- Equipment, (2001 Edition and 2002 Updated Edition). Accessed 10 January 2013. Available: <http://public.itrs.net>.
- [2] M. Sachdev. A realistic defect oriented testability methodology for analog circuits. *Journal of Electronic Testing: Theory and Applications*. 1995;6:265–276.
 - [3] V.N. Yarmolik, A.M. Shmidman. Universal module for BIST of mixed signal circuits. *2nd IMTSW*. 1996;104–108.
 - [4] L. Milor, A. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli. Optional test set design for analog circuits. *ICCAD-90*. 1990;294–197. DOI:10.1109/ICCAD.1990.12990.
 - [5] E.L. Allgower, K. Georg. Continuation and path following. *Acta Numerica*. 1993;2:1–64.
 - [6] S. Di Rocco, D. Eklund, C. Peterson, A.J. Sommese. Chern numbers of smooth varieties via homotopy continuation and intersection theory. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*. 2011;46:23–33.
 - [7] F. Jalali, J.D. Seader, S. Khaleghi. Global solution approaches in equilibrium and stability analysis using homotopy continuation in the complex domain. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 2008;32:2333–2345.
 - [8] W. Kuroki, K. Yamamura, S. Furuki. An efficient variable gain homotopy method using the SPICE-oriented approach. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-II: Express Briefs*. 2007;54:621–625.
 - [9] W. Ma, L. Trajkovic, K. Mayaram. HomSSPICE: a homotopy-based circuit simulator for periodic steady-state analysis of oscillators. *ISCAS2002*. 2002:I-645–I-648.
 - [10] I. Malinen, J. Tanskanen. Homotopy parameter bounding in increasing the robustness of homotopy continuation methods in multiplicity studies. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 2010;34:1761–1774.
 - [11] J. Ogrodzki. *Circuit simulation: methods and algorithms*. 1st ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press Inc.; 1994.
 - [12] J. Roychowdhury, R. Melville. Homotopy techniques for obtaining a DC solution of large-scale MOS Circuits. *Proceedings 33rd Design Automation Conference*. 1996;286–291.
 - [13] J. Roychowdhury, R. Melville. Delivering global DC convergence for large mixed-signal circuits via homotopy/continuation methods. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*. 2006;25:66–78.
 - [14] A. Sarmiento-Reyes, R.S. Murphy-Arteaga, H. Vazquez-Leal. A MAPLE-based homotopic circuit simulation package. *MWSCAS2001*. 2001:33–36.
 - [15] S.M. Varedi, H.M. Daniali, D.D. Ganji. Kinematics of an offset 3-UPU translational parallel manipulator by the homotopy continuation method. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*. 2009;10:1767–1774.
 - [16] H. Vazquez-Leal, L. Hernandez-Martinez, A. Sarmiento-Reyes, R. Castaneda-Sheissa. Numerical continuation scheme for tracing the double bounded homotopy for analysing nonlinear circuits. *Proc. 2005 International Conference on Communications, Circuits and Systems*. 2005:1122–1126. DOI:10.1109/ICCCAS.2005.1495304.
 - [17] D.M. Wolf, S.R. Sanders. Multiparameter Homotopy Methods for finding DC operating points of Nonlinear Circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 1996;43:824–837.
 - [18] T.-M. Wu. A study of convergence on the Newton-homotopy continuation method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2005;168:1169–1174.
 - [19] T.-M. Wu. Solving the nonlinear equations by the Newton-homotopy continuation method with adjustable auxiliary homotopy function. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2006;173:383–388.
 - [20] T.-M. Wu. The inverse kinematics problem of spatial 4P3R robot manipulator by the homotopy continuation method with an adjustable auxiliary homotopy function. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*. 2006;64:2373–2380.
 - [21] L.T. Watson. Numerical linear algebra aspects of globally convergent homotopy

- methods. *SIAM Review*. 1986;28(4):529–545. DOI:10.1137/1028157.
- [22] L.T. Watson. Probability-one homotopies in computational science. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2002;140(1-2):785–807.
 - [23] A. Robotycki, R. Zielonko. Fault diagnosis of analog piecewise linear circuits based on homotopy. *IEEET. Instrum. Meas.* 2002;51:876–881.
 - [24] K.-S. Chao, D.-K. Liu, C.-T. Pan. A systematic search method for obtaining multiple solutions of simultaneous nonlinear equations. *IEEE T. Circuits Syst.* 1975;22:748–753.
 - [25] L.B. Goldgeisser, M.M. Green. A novel algorithm that finds multiple operating points of nonlinear circuits automatically. *ISCAS'98*. 1998;558–561.
 - [26] L.B. Goldgeisser, M.M. Green. A Method for Automatically finding Multiple Operating Points in Nonlinear Circuits. *IEEE transactions on circuits and systems-I: fundamental theory and applications*. 2005;52:776–784.
 - [27] J.C. Lagarias, L. Trajkovic. Bounds for the Number of DC Operating Points of Transistor Circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 1999;46:1216–1221.
 - [28] H. Vazquez-Leal, R. Castaneda-Sheissa, A. Yildirim, Y. Khan, A. Sarmiento-Reyes, V. Jimenez-Fernandez, et. al. Biparameter homotopy-based direct current simulation of multistable circuits. *Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science*. 2012;3(2):137–150
 - [29] A. Ushida, Y. Yamagami, Y. Nishio, I. Kinouchi, Y. Inoue. An efficient algorithm for finding multiple DC solutions based on the SPICE-oriented Newton homotopy method. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*. 2002;3(21):337–348.
 - [30] L.T. Watson. Probability-one homotopies in computational science. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2002;1–2(140):785–807.
 - [31] R.C. Melville, L. Trajkovic, S.-C. Fang, L.-T. Watson. Artificial parameter homotopy methods for the DC operating point problem. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*. 1993;6(12):861–877.
 - [32] H. Vazquez-Leal, L. Hernandez-Martinez, A. Sarmiento-Reyes, R. Castaneda-Sheissa, A. Gallardo-Del-Angel. Homotopy method with a formal stop criterion applied to circuit simulation. *IEICE Electron. E*. 2011;8:1808–1815.
 - [33] H. Vazquez-Leal, R. Castaneda-Sheissa, F. Rabago-Bernal, L. Hernandez-Martinez, A. Sarmiento-Reyes, U. Filobello-Nino. Powering multiparameter homotopy-based simulation with a fast path-following technique. *ISRN Appl. Math.* 2011;7pages. ArticleID: 610637.
 - [34] K. Yamamura, T. Sekiguchi, Y. Inoue. A fixed-point homotopy method for solving modified nodal equations. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 1999;46(6):654–664.
 - [35] L. Vandenberghe, J. Vandewalle. Variable dimension algorithms for solving resistive circuits. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*. 1990;18(5):443–474.
 - [36] R. Geoghan, J.C. Lagarias, R.C. Melville. Threading homotopies and dc operating points of nonlinear circuits. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*. 1998;9(1):159–178.
 - [37] D.M. Wolf, S.R. Sanders. Multiparameter homotopy methods for finding dc operating points of nonlinear circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 1996;43(10):824–837.
 - [38] J. Lee, H.-D. Chiang. Constructive homotopy methods for finding all or multiple dc operating points of nonlinear circuits and systems. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 2001;48(1):51–66.
 - [39] K. Reif, K. Weinzierl, A. Zell, R. Unbehauen. A homotopy approach for nonlinear control synthesis. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 1998;43(9):1311–1318.
 - [40] F. Kubler, K. Schmedders. Computing equilibria in stochastic finance economies. *Computational Economics*. 2000;15(1–2):145–172.

- [41] H.-C. Chen, L.-Y. Chung. Load flow solution for ill-conditioned by homotopy continuation method. *International Journal of Power and Energy Systems*. 2000;28(1):99–106.
- [42] E. Dratman, G. Matera. On the solution of the polynomial systems arising in the discretization of certain ODEs. *Computing*. 2009;85(4):301–337.
- [43] O. Brock, O. Khatib. Real-time replanning in high-dimensional configuration spaces using sets of homotopic paths. *Proc. of the International Conference on Advanced Robotics*. 2000:550–555.
- [44] E. Lee, C. Mavroidis. Solving the geometric design problem of spatial 3R robot manipulators using polynomial homotopy continuation. *ASME Journal of Mechanical Design*. 2002;124:652–661.
- [45] T.M. Wu. Searching all the roots of inverse kinematics problem of robot by homotopy continuation method. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2005;5(4):666–673.
- [46] T.M. Wu. The inverse kinematics problem of spatial 4P3R robot manipulator by the homotopy continuation method with an adjustable auxiliary homotopy function. *Nonlinear Analysis*. 2006;64:2373–2380.
- [47] L.T. Watson. Globally convergent homotopy algorithms for nonlinear systems of equations. *Nonlinear Dynamics*. 1990;1(2):143–191.
- [48] D.J. Bates, J.D. Hauenstein, A.J. Sommese, C.W. Wampler II. Adaptive multiprecision path tracking. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 2008;46(2):722–746.
- [49] D.J. Bates, J.D. Hauenstein, A.J. Sommese, C.W. Wampler. Step-size control for adaptive multiprecision path tracking. *Contemp. Math.* 2009;496:21–31.
- [50] A. Morgan, A. Sommese. Computing all solutions to polynomial systems using homotopy continuation. *Applied Mathematics & Computation*. 1987;24(2):115–138.
- [51] L.T. Watson, R.T. Haftka. Modern homotopy methods in optimization. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1989;74(3):289–305.
- [52] V. Pérez, J. Renaud, L. Watson. Homotopy curve tracking in approximate interior point optimization. *Optimization and Engineering*. 2009;10(1):91–108.
- [53] M. Kuno, J.D. Seader. Computing all real solutions to systems of nonlinear equations with a global fixed-point homotopy. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 1988;27:1320–1329.
- [54] M. Sosonkina, L.T. Watson, D.E. Stewart. Note on the end game in homotopy zero curve tracking. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* 1996;22(3):281–287.
- [55] L.T. Watson, M. Siegfried, M. Holzer, M.C. Hansen. Tracking nonlinear equilibrium paths by a homotopy method. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*. 1983;7(11):1271–1282.
- [56] L.T. Watson. Probability-one homotopies in computational science. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2002;140(1-2):785–807.
- [57] K. Ahuja, L. Watson, S. Billups. Probability-one homotopy maps for mixed complementarity problems. *Computational Optimization and Applications*. 2008;41(3):363–375.
- [58] L.T. Watson. Globally convergent homotopy methods. In: C.A. Floudas, P.M. Pardalos, editors. *Encyclopedia of Optimization*. New York: Springer; 2009.
- [59] T.M. Wu. A technique to avoid divergence for planar and spatial Newton-homotopy continuation method. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2005;5(6):1036–1040.
- [60] T.M. Wu. A modified formula of ancient Chinese algorithm by the homotopy continuation technique. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2005;165:31–35.
- [61] T.M. Wu. The secant-homotopy continuation method. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2007;32:888–892.
- [62] S. Abbasbandy, Y. Tan, S.J. Liao. Newton-homotopy analysis method for nonlinear equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2007;188:1794–1800.
- [63] L.T. Watson, S.C. Billups, A.P. Morgan. Algorithm 652: HOMPACK: a suite of codes for globally convergent homotopy algorithms. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* 1987:281–310.

- [64] D.J. Bates, J.D. Hauenstein, A.J. Sommese. Efficient path tracking methods. *Numerical Algorithms*. 2011;58(4):451–459.
- [65] E.L. Allgower, K. Georg. *Numerical path following*. 1994.
- [66] L. Trajkovic, R.C. Melville, S.-C. Fang. Passivity and no-gain properties establish global convergence of a homotopy method for DC operating points. *IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*. 1990;2:914–917.
- [67] E. Chiarantoni, T. Politi, S. Vergura, G. Fornarelli. Applying fixed point homotopy for nonlinear DAEs deriving from switching circuits. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2003;19(3):431–441.
- [68] G. Acciani, E. Chiarantoni, G. Fornarelli, S. Vergura. Transient analysis of three-phase non-linear circuits by means of homotopy method. *IEEE-ISIE'06*. 2006:2631–2636.