



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_3381
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudorandomness in Central Force Optimization

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author did not address my main comments in a satisfactory way. Therefore I cannot recommend the manuscript for publication. The main problems remain: 1. There is no computer command to assign an arbitrary value to a given variable. So one has to either (1) provide a deterministic algorithm that would work for all practically useful optimization problems (i.e., decision spaces) , which the author did not provide, or (2) use a probabilistic approach, which the author chose to stay away from. The author's speculation about a fundamental difference between "pseudo-randomness" and "true" randomness does not have scientific merit. 2. The analogy with gravity is not adequately justified and, therefore, doesn't sound serious. 3. The illustrative material is mostly of poor quality, not acceptable for publication. The problem is not with the quality of printing, but with the quality of illustrating results. The Figs 10 & 11 are just a colourful mess, lines are crossing the legend. Instead of the big table, graphic illustration is needed, etc.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yury Shimansky
Department, University & Country	School of Biological and Health Systems Engineering, Arizona State University, U. S.A.