



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5567
Title of the Manuscript:	On commutative EKFN-ring with ascending chain condition on annihilators.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The paper needs more and more corrections. However, I implied more required corrections but they are not corrected, yet. I think the author should read carefully and pay more time to be better the paper. Some Comments: 1. notherian's <u>change to</u> Notherian 2. Propositions 1.3, 1.8 is not your result, is its proof necessary to bring? You can only refer to him everywhere you need. So you can Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, too. 3. Is it necessary to bring Propositions 1.4, 1.6? They are not used any where. 4. Propositions 1.7 and 1.8 are not your results, so you can cancel their proofs. 5. Add the proof of Proposition 2.1. 6. In references: Anderson FW, Fuller KR. <u>Changes to</u> Anderson, F.W., Fuller, K. R., Change the other references in above form.	1. We have changed: "noetherian's" to "Noetherian" 2. We have deleted: Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, Proof of Proposition 1.3 and Proof of Proposition 1.8 which become Proposition 1.6 in the final revised paper 3. We have cancelled Propositions 1.4, 1.6 4. We have deleted Proposition 1.7 5. We have added the Proof of Proposition 2.1 6. We have changed in References all references in this form: Anderson, F.W., Fuller, K. R.,