

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Thu, May 23, 2013 at 7:02 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_BJMCS_4120

Thank you so much for your valuable suggestion. We respectfully want to mention that if any manuscript receives 'minor' comments from the reviewers, it is normally directly delivered to Chief Editor's desk to accelerate the process and to reduce the burden of reviewers. (This is the case of this manuscript as it received two minor comments in the first round of review). If any manuscript receives any 'major/serious major/repairable reject' comment, then the revised manuscript goes for re-review to the respective reviewer. Once the reviewer is happy (at least partially), then only the manuscript goes to the desk of an academic editor and then to Chief Editor.

Authors are in tremendous pressure of producing publication and they prefer SPEED as well QUALITY. Therefore, optimization of SPEED and QUALITY of review is always a serious issue in peer review management. And we also want to inform that we are not perfect but trying honestly to be to meet the expectation of the scientific community.

We have great respect for your all suggestions. We'll pass this revised manuscript to the reviewer and then academic editor and then to your desk.

Editor's Details:

Tian-Xiao He

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Illinois Wesleyan University, USA.

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.