

According to the reviewers' comments, I would recommend the paper for publication.

The paper is well structured and most of problems in it have been improved according to the reviewers' suggestions. However, there are some questions mentioned by the second reviewer (I.T. Chen) have not been explained. For instance:

1. In page5, line 6, $mes_1 \{\theta \in \Theta | \theta_1, \dots,$
The reviewer mentioned that it seems to start from θ_0 . In authors' response, they did not mention about this question. The parameter starts from θ_0 or θ_1 ?
2. In page 6, line -18, $I=[c, c' \cap \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$; and
in Page 10, Notations 4.3 Let $I_t = [k_t/2^q, k_t'/2^q[, \dots$
There are 2 "[[" in both equations. What do they mean? If these are not typos, authors should explain them in detail.
3. There are many notations in this paper. The mathematical symbol should be uniform. Some are in Italic but some are not.
4. In page 3, Notations 1.4, is y' , y' the same? y' should be consistent.
5. Some sentences presented in Bold or Italic are unnecessary. For instance: at the end of sections 1.4 and 4.1, in the middle of Notations 4.2, and so on.

I think that all above should be explained or corrected before the publication of the paper.

Editor's Details:

Chin-Chen Chang

Department of Information Engineering and Computer Science,

Feng Chia University,

100 Wenhwa Rd., Seatwen,

Taichung 40724, Taiwan, R.O.C.