

Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic quasilinear elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity in $\mathbf{R}^{N_1}$

Abstract

Using variational methods, we establish existence of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic problems

$$-\Delta_p u + V(x)u^{p-1} = H(u - \beta)f(u),$$

where $\beta > 0$, $2 \leq p < N$, V is a positive, continuous perturbations of a periodic function, H is the Heaviside function and f is a continuous function with subcritical growth. The results of the semilinear equations are extended to the quasilinear problem.

Key words: Nonlinear perturbations; discontinuous nonlinearity; quasilinear elliptic problem; periodic.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solution for the following class of quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + V(x)u^{p-1} = H(u - \beta)f(u) & a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (P)_\beta$$

where $2 \leq p < N$, $\beta \geq 0$ is a parameter, and H is the Heaviside function given by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The function $V : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a positive continuous function satisfying:

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbf{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 > 0. \quad (V_0)$$

There is a $\mathbf{Z}^N$ -periodic continuous function $V_m : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, that is,

$$V_m(x + y) = V_m(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad y \in \mathbf{Z}^N,$$

¹Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11171092); the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China(No.08KJB110005)

such that

$$V(x) \leq V_m(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad (V_1)$$

There is $x_1 \in \mathbf{R}^N$ such that

$$V(x_1) < V_m(x_1) \quad (V_2)$$

and

$$|V(x) - V_m(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (V_3)$$

The function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following conditions

$$(f1) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} = 0.$$

$$(f2) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^q} = 0, \text{ for some } q \in (p-1, p^*-1), \text{ where } p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}.$$

$$(f3) \text{ There is } \theta \in (p, q+1) \text{ such that } 0 < \theta F(t) \leq f(t)t, \forall t > 0, \text{ where } F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds.$$

$$(f4) \text{ The function } t \rightarrow \frac{f(t)}{t^{p^*-1}} \text{ is increasing on } (0, \infty), \text{ which implies } t \rightarrow \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} \text{ is also increasing on } (0, \infty).$$

The problem (1.1) appears in the study of non-Newtonian flows, chemotaxis, and biological pattern formation etc. For example, in the study of non-Newtonian flows, the constant p is a characteristic of medium. Media with $p > 2$ are called dilatant fluids and those with $p < 2$ are called pseudo-plastics. If $p = 2$ they are Newtonian fluids (see [32] and the references therein). The interest in the study of nonlinear partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities has increased because many free boundary problems arising in mathematical physics may be stated in this form. Among these problems, we have the obstacle problem, the seepage surface problem, and the Elenbaas equation, see [2,14,16].

There are many papers having studied the problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, and we can refer the readers to [2,4,11,17-25] and the references therein. Several techniques have been developed or applied in these papers, such as variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals, sub and super solutions, and the theory of multivalued mappings.

When $p = 2$, the function V is periodic and f has a subcritical growth, the problem $(P)_\beta$ with $\beta = 0$ has been studied in [26], where the main tool used was the variational methods for C^1 -functionals.

In [27], Alves, Marcos and Miyagaki discussed the existence of solution for the critical periodic and asymptotic periodic problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^2, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^2), \quad u(x) > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

And in [8] they also established conditions for the existence of a positive solution for the periodic elliptic problem with critical growth, which given in the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda u^q + u^p & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad u(x) > 0, \quad N \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $1 < q < p = 2^* - 1$, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, and V is a positive continuous periodic function.

Recently, Alves and Nascimento [3] studied nonlinear perturbation of a periodic elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity, which was given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = H(u - \beta)f(u) & a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u(x) > 0, & \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

For $p > 1$, Alves and Bertone [11] studied the following problem

$$-\Delta_p u = H(u - a)u^{p^*-1} + \lambda h(x) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

where H is the Heaviside function, $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, and h is a positive function.

On the other hand, C.O.Alves et al.[28] also studied the following quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + a(x)u^{p-1} = h(x)u^q + k(x)u^{p^*-1} & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u \in H^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad u(x) > 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $1 < p < N$, $p - 1 < q < p^* - 1$, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and $a, h, k: \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous functions and there exist continuous Z -periodic functions $A, H, K: \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $a(x) \leq A(x)$, $h(x) \leq H(x)$, $k(x) \leq K(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$, and

$$a(x) - A(x) \rightarrow 0, h(x) - H(x) \rightarrow 0, k(x) - K(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

In the present paper, we will develop (1.3) into quasilinear one. Just as we know, when the nonlinear term is discontinuous and the function V is periodic, our first difficulty involving this class of problem is the fact that we can not use the classical variational methods, and it is necessary to use some results for Locally Lipschitz functional. Moreover, when the nonlinearity is continuous and satisfies some assumptions, the mountain pass level is equal to the minimum of the energy functional on Nehari Manifolds, which is a key point in a lot of papers. However, this property is not true for discontinuous nonlinearity. Hence, the arguments used in the above reference can not be repeated directly, and a careful analysis is necessary to get similar results to those found in [8,29,30].

By a modification of the method given in [3], we obtain the following main result.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $(V_0) - (V_3)$ and $(f_1) - (f_4)$ hold. Then, there is $\beta^* > 0$, such that problem $(P)_\beta$ has a positive solution for all $\beta \in [0, \beta^*)$.

2 Basic results from nonsmooth analysis

In this section, for the reader's convenience, we give the following some definitions and basic results according to O.Alves and Nascimento [3] and the references therein .

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. A functional $I : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is locally Lipschitz, $I \in Lip_{loc}(X, \mathbf{R})$ for short, if given $u \in X$, there is an open neighborhood $V := V_u \subset X$ and some constant $K = K_V > 0$ such that

$$|I(v_2) - I(v_1)| \leq K\|v_2 - v_1\|, \quad v_i \in V, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The directional derivative of I at u in the direction of $v \in X$ is defined by

$$I^0(u, v) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(u + h + \lambda v) - I(u + h)}{\lambda}.$$

Hence, $I^0(u; \cdot)$ is continuous, convex and its subdifferential at $z \in X$ is given by

$$\partial I^0(u; z) = \{\mu \in X^*; I^0(u, v) \geq I^0(u, z) + \langle \mu, v - z \rangle, v \in X\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing between X^* and X . The generalized gradient of I at u is the set

$$\partial I(u) = \{\mu \in X^*; \langle \mu, v \rangle \leq I^0(u, v), v \in X\}.$$

Since $I^0(u, 0) = 0$, $\partial I(u)$ is the subdifferential of $I^0(u, 0)$.

A few definitions and properties will be recalled below.
 $\partial I(u) \subset X^*$ is convex, non-empty and weak*-compact,

$$\lambda(u) = \min\{\|\mu\|_{X^*}; \mu \in \partial I(u)\},$$

and

$$\partial I(u) = \{I'(u)\}, \quad \text{if } I \in C^1(X, R).$$

A critical point of I is an element $u_0 \in X$ such that $0 \in \partial I(u_0)$ and a critical value of I is a real number c such that $I(u_0) = c$ for some critical point $u_0 \in X$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $I \in Lip_{loc}(X, R)$ with $I(0) = 0$ and satisfying:

- (i) There are $r > 0$ and $\tau > 0$, such that $I(u) \geq \tau$, for $\|u\| = r$, $u \in X$;
- (ii) There is $e \in X \setminus B_r(0)$ with $I(e) < 0$.

If $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t))$ and

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X), \gamma(0) = 0, \text{ and } \gamma(1) = e\},$$

then $c \geq \tau$ and there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 2.1. [2,5] Let $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u) dx$ where $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$ and $g(t) = H(t - \beta)f(t)$. Then, $\Psi \in Lip_{loc}(L^{q+1}(\mathbf{R}^N), R)$, $\partial \Psi(u) \in L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and if $\rho \in \partial \Psi(u)$, we have that

$$\rho(x) \in [\underline{g}(u(x)), \bar{g}(u(x))] \quad a.e. \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N$$

where $\bar{g}(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} g(t + \delta)$ and $\underline{g}(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} g(t - \delta)$.

Remark 2.1. From definition of g , $\bar{g}$, and $\underline{g}$, it is easy to prove that

$$[\underline{g}(u(x)), \bar{g}(u(x))] = \begin{cases} \{f(u(x))\}, & u(x) > \beta \\ [0, f(\beta)], & u(x) = \beta \\ \{0\}, & u(x) < \beta \end{cases}$$

3 The periodic case

To prove Theorem 1.1, firstly, we need to establish the existence of solution for the periodic case. Thereby, in this section, we study the existence of positive solution for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + V_m(x)u^{p-1} = H(u - \beta)f(u) & a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad ((P)_{m,\beta})$$

Hereafter, we consider the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ endowed with the norm

$$||u||^p = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p).$$

A direct computation shows that this norm is equivalent to the usual norm in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

The energy functional related to $(P)_{m,\beta}$ is given by

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u),$$

where $G(t) = \int_0^t g(\tau)d\tau$ and $g(t) = H(t - \beta)f(t)$.

From now on, since we intend to find positive solutions for problem $(P)_{m,\beta}$, we will suppose that

$$f(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. The functional $I_{m,\beta}$ verifies the mountain pass geometry, that is, the condition (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1 hold.

Proof. Using the definition of G , it follows that

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} ||u||^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} F(u)dt.$$

From (f1)-(f3), for each $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, there is $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \epsilon |t|^{p-1} + C_\epsilon |t|^q, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R},$$

thus,

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} ||u||^p - \frac{\epsilon}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u|^p - \frac{C_\epsilon}{q+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u|^{q+1}.$$

By Sobolev embedding, there are $C_1, C_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) \geq ||u||^p [C_1 - C_2 ||u||^{q-(p-1)}].$$

The last inequality implies that there are $r, \rho > 0$ satisfying

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) \geq \rho > 0, \quad \text{for } ||u|| = r.$$

In view of (f3), there are positive constants k_1, k_2 such that

$$G(t) \geq k_1 t^\theta - k_2, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Taking $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ a nonnegative function and $t > 0$, we derive that

$$I_{m,\beta}(t\varphi) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|\varphi\|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(t\varphi) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|\varphi\|^p - k_1 t^\theta \int_{\sup t\varphi} \varphi^\theta + k_2 \text{med}(\sup t\varphi)$$

Since $\theta > p \geq 2$,

$$I_{m,\beta}(t\varphi) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Consequently, for $t_0 > 0$ large enough, the function $e = t_0\varphi$ satisfies

$$I_{m,\beta}(e) < 0 \quad \text{with} \quad e \in \mathbf{R}^N \setminus B_r(0).$$

Combining Lemma 3.1 with Theorem 2.1, there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$I_{m,\beta}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $C_{m,\beta}$ is the mountain pass level for $I_{m,\beta}$.

Next, we will show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. For the convenience, we will use the following notation involving the functional $I_{m,\beta}$:

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) = Q_m(u) - \Psi_\beta(u)$$

where $Q_m(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p)$ and $\Psi_\beta(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u)$.

Using the same methods as [3], we have the following lemma

Lemma 3.2. The sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ be the sequence given in (3.2), then for each $R > 0$, there are $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ and $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$\int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \alpha \quad \forall n \in N.$$

Proof. Arguing by contradiction that the lemma does not hold, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{y \in \mathbf{R}^N} \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n^p| = 0.$$

Once that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, we have

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*,$$

and in particular,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u_n|^q = o_n(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle \omega_n, u_n \rangle = o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \omega_n + \rho_n, u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^p,$$

implying that

$$\|u_n\|^p = o_n(1) + \langle \rho_n, u_n \rangle.$$

Using the below estimate

$$\rho_n(x) \leq \bar{g}(u_n(x)) \leq f(u_n(x)) \leq \epsilon |u_n|^{p-1} + C_\epsilon |u_n|^q,$$

we have

$$\langle \rho_n, u_n \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n u_n \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_n \bar{g}(u_n) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_n f(u_n) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \epsilon |u_n|^p + \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} C_\epsilon |u_n|^{q+1}$$

which yields

$$||u_n||^p \leq o_n(1) + \epsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u_n|^p + C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u_n|^{q+1},$$

from where it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} ||u_n||^p = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Using the below inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u_n) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p} |u_n|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)}^p + \frac{C_\epsilon}{q+1} |u_n|_{L^{q+1}(\mathbf{R}^N)}^{q+1},$$

we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, (3.3) combined with (3.4) implies that

$$I_{m,\beta}(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which is an absurd, since

$$I_{m,\beta}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} > 0.$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.

Remark 3.1. The sequence $\{y_n\}$ given in Lemma 3.3 can be chosen in Z^N , and we can find details in [8].

Lemma 3.4. Let $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$, where $\{y_n\}$ is given by Lemma 3.3. Then,

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By change variable, it is easy to prove that

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) = I_{m,\beta}(u_n),$$

then, we have

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta}.$$

Next, we will show that

$$\lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

First of all, we recall that there is $\{\omega_n\} \subset (W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N))^*$ satisfying

$$\omega_n = Q'_m(u_n) - \rho_n \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(u_n) = ||\omega_n||_*,$$

with $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial\Psi_\beta(u_n)$ satisfying

$$\Psi_\beta^0(u_n; \phi) \geq \langle \rho_n, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N).$$

Taking $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, we get

$$\Psi_\beta^0(u_n; \phi(\cdot - y_n)) \geq \langle \rho_n, \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n(x) \phi(x - y_n) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n(x + y_n) \phi(x) = \langle \tilde{\rho}_n, \phi \rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_n = \rho_n(x + y_n)$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N$.

Since

$$\Psi_\beta(u_n + h + \lambda\phi(\cdot - y_n)) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u_n + h + \lambda\phi(\cdot - y_n)),$$

and

$$\Psi_\beta(u_n + h) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u_n + h),$$

we easily see that

$$\Psi_\beta(u_n + h + \lambda\phi(\cdot - y_n)) = \Psi_\beta(v_n + \tilde{h} + \lambda\phi),$$

and

$$\Psi_\beta(u_n + h) = \Psi_\beta(v_n + \tilde{h}),$$

where $\tilde{h}(x) = h(x + y_n)$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N$. Therefore,

$$\Psi_\beta^0(u_n; \phi(\cdot - y_n)) = \Psi_\beta^0(v_n; \phi)$$

and (3.5) gives

$$\Psi_\beta^0(v_n; \phi) \geq \langle \tilde{\rho}_n, \phi \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

showing that $\tilde{\rho}_n \in \partial\Psi_\beta(v_n)$. Recalling that $\omega_n = Q'_m(u_n) - \rho_n$, we get that

$$\langle \omega_n, \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle = \langle Q'_m(u_n), \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle - \langle \rho_n, \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle = \langle Q'_m(v_n), \phi \rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}_n, \phi \rangle.$$

Setting $\langle \tilde{\omega}_n, \phi \rangle = \langle \omega_n, \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\omega}_n = Q'_m(v_n) - \tilde{\rho}_n.$$

Next, we will show that the linear functional $\tilde{\omega}_n$ belongs to $\partial I_{m,\beta}(v_n)$.

In fact, once that $\{\omega_n\} \subset \partial I_{m,\beta}(u_n)$, we derive

$$I_{m,\beta}^0(u_n; \phi) \geq \langle \omega_n, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N).$$

Thereby,

$$I_{m,\beta}^0(u_n; \phi(\cdot - y_n)) \geq \langle \omega_n, \phi(\cdot - y_n) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\omega}_n, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N).$$

On the other hand, a simple change variable shows that

$$I_{m,\beta}^0(v_n; \phi) = I_{m,\beta}^0(u_n; \phi(\cdot - y_n)),$$

then,

$$I_{m,\beta}^0(v_n; \phi) \geq \langle \widetilde{\omega}_n, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N),$$

showing that $\widetilde{\omega}_n \in \partial I_{m,\beta}(v_n)$.

Now, from definition of $\widetilde{\omega}_n$, it is easy to check that

$$\|\widetilde{\omega}_n\|_* \leq \|\omega_n\|_* \quad \forall n \in N,$$

leading to

$$0 \leq \lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \leq \|\widetilde{\omega}_n\|_* \leq \|\omega_n\|_* \rightarrow 0,$$

that is,

$$\lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $(V_0) - (V_3)$ and $(f1) - (f4)$ hold. Then, there is $\beta^* > 0$ such that problem $(P)_{m,\beta}$ possesses a positive solution for all $\beta \in [0, \beta^*)$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, there is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by itself and there exists $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^s(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad a.e \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ given by Lemma 3.4 is also bounded and there is $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightarrow v \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^s(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*, \\ v_n &\rightarrow v \quad a.e \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the Sobolev embedding with Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\int_{B_R(0)} v^p = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(0)} v_n^p = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} u_n^p \geq \alpha > 0,$$

showing that $v \not\equiv 0$. On the other hand, from (3.1), we can assume without loss of generality that $v_n \geq 0$. Then, $v(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and $v \not\equiv 0$.

Next, we will show that v is a nontrivial solution for $(P)_{m,\beta}$.

In fact, we have prove that $v \not\equiv 0$, thus, we need to show that v satisfies

$$-\Delta_p v + V_m(x)v^{p-1} = H(v - \beta)f(v) \quad a.e. \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Once that $\{v_n\}$ satisfies

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

we know that there are $\{\omega_n\} \subset \partial I_{m,\beta}(v_n)$ and $\{\rho_n\} \subset \partial \Psi_\beta(v_n)$ such that $\|\omega_n\|_* \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\langle \omega_n, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \phi + V_m(x) v_n^{p-1} \phi) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \quad (3.7)$$

with

$$\rho_n(x) \in [\underline{g}(v_n(x)), \bar{g}(v_n(x))] \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that $\{\rho_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, since from (3.8) and Remark 2.1, there is $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\rho_n| \leq C_1 |v_n|^q, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

from where it follows that

$$|\rho_n|_{L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)}^{\frac{q+1}{q}} \leq C_2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |v_n|^{q+1}.$$

Since $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{q+1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, there is $M > 0$ such that

$$|\rho_n|_{L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq M, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

Consequently, there is $\rho_0 \in L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho_0 \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad (3.9)$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n \phi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_0 \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in L^{q+1}(\mathbf{R}^N). \quad (3.10)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7) and using (3.10), we obtain the identity

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \phi + V_m(x) v^{p-1} \phi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_0 \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N),$$

which yields v is a weak solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p v + V_m(x) v^{p-1} = \rho_0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ u > 0, & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad ((P)_0)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, and repeating the similar arguments explored in [10,11], we can get that

$$\rho_n(x) \rightarrow \rho_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, we will show that there exists $\beta^* > 0$ such that $\Gamma_\beta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : v(x) = \beta\}$ has null measure for all $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$.

In fact, we know that

$$\rho_n(x) \leq \bar{g}(v_n(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Consequently, from definition of $\bar{g}$, we have

$$\rho_n(x) \leq f(v_n(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Therefore, for all nonnegative function $\phi \in L^{q+1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n \phi \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f(v_n) \phi.$$

The weak limit

$$\rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho_0 \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{q+1}{q}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$$

together with the Sobolev embedding gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_0 \phi \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f(v) \phi.$$

Since ϕ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\rho_0(x) \leq f(v(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

From this, we have

$$\rho_0(x) \leq f(\beta) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Gamma_\beta. \quad (3.12)$$

Now, if Γ_β has a positive measure, by using the Morrey-Stampacchia's Theorem (see [12,13]), we have that

$$-\Delta_p v(x) = 0 \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Gamma_\beta.$$

Thereby, we have

$$V_m(x) \beta^{p-1} \leq f(\beta) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Gamma_\beta,$$

and thus

$$V_0 \leq \frac{f(\beta)}{\beta^{p-1}}.$$

By (f1), we have

$$\frac{f(\beta)}{\beta^{p-1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we can conclude that there is $\beta^* > 0$ such that $\Gamma_\beta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : v(x) = \beta\}$ has null measure for all $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$.

Now, for $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$ and from the following limit

$$v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N,$$

and (3.8), we can see that

$$\rho_n(x) \rightarrow H(v(x) - \beta)f(v(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (3.13)$$

The last limit combined with (3.11) yields

$$\rho_0 = H(v(x) - \beta)f(v(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Moreover, since the set Γ_β has null measure, we see that $v(x)$ satisfies $(P)_{m,\beta}$ in the "strong" sense, i.e.,

$$-\Delta_p v + V_m(x)v^{p-1} = H(v(x) - \beta)f(v(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

The positivity of v follows by maximum principles. Therefore, we have showed that v is a nontrivial solution for $(P)_{m,\beta}$.

4 The general case

In this section, we will prove the existence of solution for problem $(P)_\beta$. From now on, we suppose that conditions $(V_0) - (V_3)$ and (f1)-(f4) hold. Moreover, we denote by $I_0, I_{m,0} : W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the energy functional associated with the problems $(P)_0$ and $(P)_{m,0}$, which are given by

$$I_0(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)u^p) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} F(u),$$

and

$$I_{m,0}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} F(u).$$

These functionals are C^1 and it is well known that there are $\psi_m, \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that

$$I_{m,0}(\psi_m) = c_{m,0} \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{m,0}(\psi_m) = 0,$$

and

$$I_0(\varphi) = c_0, \quad \text{and} \quad I'_0(\varphi) = 0,$$

where $c_{m,0}$ and c_0 denote the mountain pass levels. In addition, from $(V_1) - (V_2)$, we can prove that $c_0 < c_{m,0}$. Since its proof follows by using the similar methods used in [8], we omit it here. Thereby, we can find $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying

$$I_0(\varphi_R) < c_0 + \frac{\delta}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad c_0 + 2\delta < c_{m,0} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\varphi_R = \eta(\frac{x}{R})\varphi$ and $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta(x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in B_1(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(x) = 0. \quad \forall x \in B_2^c(0).$$

According to Lebesgue's Theorem,

$$\varphi_R \rightarrow \varphi \quad \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Consequently, $\varphi_R \not\equiv 0$ for R large enough. Moreover, there is $t_R > 0$ with $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} t_R = 1$ such that

$$I_0(t_R \varphi_R) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_0(t \varphi_R).$$

Thereby, we choose $R > 0$ large enough satisfying

$$I_0(t_R \varphi_R) < c_0 + \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Furthermore, for each $\beta > 0$, the energy functionals associated with $(P)_m$ and $(P)_{m,\beta}$ will be denoted by

$$I_\beta(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)u^p) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u),$$

and

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u),$$

respectively.

Using well-known arguments, it is easy to show that the above functionals satisfy the mountain pass geometry. Since the proof follows by using the same arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we will omit here. In what follows, let us denote by c_β , $c_{m,\beta}$ the mountain pass levels of these functionals.

Using the same methods as Lemma 4.1 of [3], we have the following lemma

Lemma 4.1. There exists $\beta^* > 0$ such that $c_\beta < c_{m,\beta}$ for all $\beta \in (0, \beta^*)$.

Since I_β verifies the mountain pass geometry, there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$I_\beta(u_n) \rightarrow c_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\beta(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the same arguments explored in Sect.3, we can get that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, and there is $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{in} \quad L_{loc}^s(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad a.e \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter, we will use the following notations for the functionals I_β and $I_{m,\beta}$:

$$I_\beta(u) = Q(u) - \Psi_\beta(u)$$

and

$$I_{m,\beta}(u) = Q_m(u) - \Psi_\beta(u),$$

where

$$Q(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V(x)u^p), \quad Q_m(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + V_m(x)u^p)$$

and

$$\Psi_\beta(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(u)$$

Lemma 4.2. The weak limit u is nontrivial, that is $u \not\equiv 0$.

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, we suppose that $u = 0$. Then,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N). \tag{4.2}$$

By (V_3) , given $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbf{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{|x| \geq r} |V(x) - V_m(x)| u_n^p \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, once that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^s(\mathbf{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*,$$

we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| \leq r} |V(x) - V_m(x)| u_n^s = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

and in particular,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|x| \leq r} |V(x) - V_m(x)| u_n^p = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.3) and (4.5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |V(x) - V_m(x)| u_n^p = 0.$$

The above limit implies that

$$Q_m(u_n) = Q(u_n) + o_n(1)$$

and

$$Q'_m(u_n) = Q'(u_n) + o_n(1).$$

Hence, we have

$$I_{m,\beta}(u_n) = I_\beta(u_n) + o_n(1) \rightarrow c_\beta$$

and

$$\lambda_{m,\beta} \rightarrow 0.$$

From Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.1, there are $\{z_n\} \subset Z^N$, $r_1 > 0$ and $\eta_1 > 0$ satisfying

$$\int_{B_{r_1}(z_n)} u_n^p \geq \eta_1 > 0.$$

Setting $v_n(x) = u_n(x + z_n)$ and repeating the same arguments explored in Sect.3, we have $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Thus, there is $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$, still denoted by itself, such that

$$v_n(x) \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$$

with

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow c_{m,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m,\beta}(v_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, v is a positive solution of the problem

$$-\Delta_p v + V_m(x) v^{p-1} = H(v(x) - \beta) f(v(x)) \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^N, \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$\text{med}(\Gamma_\beta) = 0.$$

In what follows, let $t > 0$ satisfying

$$tv \in N_{m,0} = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}; I'_{m,0}(u)u = 0\},$$

where $N_{m,0}$ is the Nehari manifold associated with $I_{m,0}$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^p + V_m(x)v^p) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \frac{f(tv)tv}{t^p} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \frac{f(tv)v}{t^{p-1}} \quad (4.7)$$

Once that,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (|\nabla v|^p + V_m(x)v^p) < \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f(v)v, \quad (4.8)$$

the condition (f4) together with (4.7) and (4.8) yields $t \in (0, 1)$. Additionally, the below characterization

$$c_{m,0} = \inf_{u \in N_{m,0}} I_{m,0}(u) \text{ (see [9])},$$

gives the inequality

$$c_{m,0} \leq I_{m,0}(tv),$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} c_{m,0} &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|v\|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} F(tv) - \frac{\|tv\|^p}{q+1} + \frac{\|tv\|^p}{q+1} \\ &= t^p \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} F(tv) + \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f(tv)tv \\ &= t^p \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p + \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(tv)tv - F(tv) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By (f4), the function

$$h(s) = \frac{1}{q+1} f(s)s - F(s)$$

is nondecreasing, then,

$$c_{m,0} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p + \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right],$$

or equivalently

$$c_{m,0} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p + \int_{[v \leq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right] + \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right].$$

From Lebesgue's Theorem, we have

$$\int_{[v \leq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, there is $\beta^* > 0$ such that

$$\int_{[v \leq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right] < \frac{\delta}{8}, \quad \forall \beta \in (0, \beta^*).$$

Thereby,

$$c_{m,0} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p + \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right] + \frac{\delta}{8} \quad \forall \beta \in (0, \beta^*).$$

Combining the fact that v is a solution of (4.6) with $\text{med}(\Gamma_\beta) = 0$, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right) \|v\|^p + \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{q+1} f(v)v - F(v) \right] \leq \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v)v - F(v) \right].$$

Consequently,

$$c_{m,0} < \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v)v - F(v) \right] + \frac{\delta}{8} \quad \forall \beta \in (0, \beta^*). \quad (4.9)$$

Recalling that $\langle \omega_n, v_n \rangle = o_n(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{m,\beta}(v_n) &= I_{m,\beta}(v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle \omega_n, v_n \rangle + o_n(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|^p - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} G(v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \rho_n v_n + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{p} \rho_n v_n - G(v_n) \right] + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{[v_n < \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} \rho_n v_n - G(v_n) \right] = 0,$$

it follows that

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) = \int_{[v_n \geq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} \rho_n v_n - G(v_n) \right] = \int_{[v_n \geq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v_n)v_n - G(v_n) \right].$$

Now, the below inequality

$$-G(t) = -F(t) + F(\beta) \geq -F(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

leads to

$$I_{m,\beta}(v_n) = \int_{[v_n \geq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v_n)v_n - G(v_n) \right] \geq \int_{[v_n \geq \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v_n)v_n - F(v_n) \right].$$

The condition (f3) together with Fatou's Lemma and $\text{med}(\Gamma_\beta) = 0$ implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{m,\beta}(v_n) \geq \int_{[v > \beta]} \left[\frac{1}{p} f(v)v - F(v) \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$c_{m,0} < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf I_{m,\beta}(v_n) + \frac{\delta}{8} = c_\beta + \frac{\delta}{8},$$

that is,

$$c_{m,0} < c_\beta + \frac{\delta}{8}.$$

From (4.18) of [3], we have

$$c_{m,0} < c_0 + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{8} < c_0 + \delta.$$

On the other hand, by (4.1), we can obtain

$$c_{m,0} < c_{m,0} - \delta,$$

which is an absurd. Thus, the weak limit u is nontrivial.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Once that the Lemma 4.1 and 4.2 were established, we can repeat the same arguments explored in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to conclude that u is a positive solution for $(P)_\beta$.

References

- [1] Rosário Grossinho, M.Tersian, S.A, An Introduction to Minimax Theorems and Their Applications to Differential Equations (2001).
- [2] Chang, K.C, Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 80(1981), 102-129.
- [3] Claudiano O.Alves and Rúbia G. Nascimento, Nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity in $\mathbf{R}^N$. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 2011 Springer Basel AG, DOC 10.1007/s00033-011-0153-0.
- [4] Radulescu, V.D, Mountain pass theorems for non-differentiable functions and applications. Proc. Jpn. Acad. 69(Ser.A)(1993), 193-198.
- [5] Clarke, F.H, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis. Wiley, New York (1983).
- [6] Lions, P.L, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part II. Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1(1984),233-283.
- [7] Clarke, F.H, Generalized gradients and applications. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 265(1975), 247-262.
- [8] Alves, C.O, Carrião, P.C, Miyagaki, O.H, Nonlinear perturbation of a periodic elliptic problem with critical growth, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 260(2001),133-146.
- [9] Willem, M. Minimax Theorems. Birkhäuser, Boston(1996)
- [10] J.V.Goncalves, C.O.Alves, Existence of positive solutions for m-Laplacian equations in $\mathbf{R}^N$ involving critical Sobolev exponents, Nonlinear Anal. 32(1998) 53-70.
- [11] Alves, C.O, Bertone, A.M, A discontinuous problem involving the p-Laplacian operator and critical exponent in $\mathbf{R}^N$, Electr. J.Differ. Equ. (42)(2003), 1-10.
- [12] C.B.Morrey, Multiple integrals in calculus of variations, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- [13] G.Stampacchia, Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second order a coefficients discontinous. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 15(1965),189-288.

- [14] K.C.Chang, The obstacle problem and partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (1978),139-158.
- [15] D.Arcoya , M.Calahorrano, Some discontinuous problems with a quasilinear operator, *Scuola Normale Superiore.* 97(1991).
- [16] K.C.Chang, On the multiple solutions of the elliptic differential equations with discontinuous nonlinear terms, *Sci. Sin.* 21(1978), 139-158.
- [17] Ambrosetti, A, Badiale, M, The dual variational principle and elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*140(1989),363-373.
- [18] Carl, S, Dietrich, H, The weak upper and low solution method for elliptic equations with generalized subdifferentiable perturbations. *Appl. Anal.* 56(1995),263-278.
- [19] Carl, S, Heillila, S, Elliptic equations with discontinuous nonlinearities in $\mathbf{R}^N$, *Nonlinear Anal.* 31(1998),217-227.
- [20] Carl, S, Heillila, S, Elliptic equations with lack of compactness via a new fixed point theorem. *J. Differ. Equ.* 186(2002),122-140.
- [21] Gazzola, F, Radulescu, V.D, A nonsmooth critical point theory approach to some nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbf{R}^N$. *Differ. Int. Equ.* 13(2000),47-60.
- [22] Alves, C.O, Bertone, A.M, Goncalves, J.V, A variational approach to discontinuous problems with critical Sobolev exponents, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 265(2002), 103-127.
- [23] Alves, C.O, Santos, J.A, Goncalves, J.V, On multiple solutions for multivalued elliptic equations under Navier boundary conditions, *J. Convex Anal* (to appear).
- [24] Alves, C.O, Nascimento, R.G, Existence and concentration of solutions for a class of elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity in $\mathbf{R}^N$, Preprint.
- [25] Badiale, M, Some remarks on elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* 51(1993),331-342.
- [26] Rabinowitz, P.H, A note on a semilinear elliptic equations on $\mathbf{R}^N$. In: Ambrosetti, A, Marino, A. (eds.) *A Tribute in Honour of Giovanni Prodi*, pp.307-318. *Quaderni Scuola Normale Superiore*, Pisa(1991).
- [27] C.O.Alves, João Marcos do Ó, O.H. Miyagaki, On nonlinear perturbations of a periodic elliptic problem in $\mathbf{R}^2$ involving critical growth, *Nonlinear Analysis* 56(2004),781-791.
- [28] C.O.Alves, João Marcos do Ó, O.H. Miyagaki, On perturbations of a class of a periodic m-Laplacian equation with critical growth, *Nonlinear Analysis* 45(2001),849-863.
- [29] Zhu, X.P, Yang, J, On the existence of nontrivial solution of a quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem for unbounded domains, *Acta Math. Sci.* 7(1987), 341-359.
- [30] Zhu, X.P, Yang, J, The quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* 2(1989), 53-64.
- [31] Mingzhu Wu, Zuodong Yang, Existence of positive and sign-changing solutions for p -Laplace equations with potentials in $\mathbf{R}^N$, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2010(5)(2010), 1-16.
- [32] J.Diaz, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries*, vol. I: Elliptic Equation, *Res. Notes Math.* vol. 106. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1985.