



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6683
Title of the Manuscript:	Extended Vector Equilibrium Problem
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See the sticky remarks on the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is very poorly written. All the concepts and results in this paper are superficial and wrong. KKM Theorem is only valid for convex sets. Authors must prove it for the invex sets first and then try to use it for problems.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer