



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5181
Title of the Manuscript:	An Integration of Organisation Information Processing Theory and Activity Theory in ERP systems implementation
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper has potential of been published in the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In line 51, the sentence should be reframed as: The documentation of this research write-up is organized as follows; More so: I was unable to download the journal paper template to see how the documentation of the paper should be organized: But I think a research paper of this type needs to be well subtitled and organized as follows 1.0. Introduction is Ok. Section 2: should be first subtitled as either background to the study or literature Survey. Section 3: should be Research	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Methodology/Framework Section 4: System mode/Architecture Chapter 5: Should be conclusion and Recommendation	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggested but not compulsory, that the title should be modified to: Conceptual Framework for Integration of Organisation Information Processing Theory and Activity Theory in ERP systems implementation. This is necessary as the authors are just proposing a model to justify the Integration of Organisation Information Processing Theory and Activity Theory in ERP systems implementation	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer