



SDI Review Form 1.6

anPART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5602
Title of the Manuscript:	Generating 3rd Level Association Rules Using Fast Apriori Implementation
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Typographical errors and grammatical errors must be checked throughout the paper and revised accordingly (e.g. line number 43,46,278,279, conclusion section) Table 2 pack column contains both discrete and continuous values. Authors need to explain, how are they accommodated in the algorithm? Line number 90, 91 → Different threshold values have been mentioned. Authors need to explain, how the threshold values are determined for different levels. Line 253,254 → Authors need to justify the relationship between the input file and the generation of frequent four item sets. In what they are related?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line number 165 – 169 → Data cleaning algorithm can be	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	explained more clearly. Line 174 needs grammatical revision Bodon implementation of Apriori algorithm is modified only in terms of programming. Authors could more clearly highlight how their algorithm differs from Bodon implementation algorithmically, apart from programming aspects.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No recent journal references have been made. Fig.4 Third Level Association rule generation, support has not been included.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer