

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Wed, Apr 24, 2013 at 2:32 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for evaluation of revised paper, reference number: 2013 BJMCS 3465

in attach you find my evaluation comments about the revised version of the manuscript:

A GENERAL INEQUALITY RELATED TO VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES AND ITS CONSEQUENCES.

With Best Regards

Report on the revised version of Manuscript:

**A GENERAL INEQUALITY RELATED TO VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES AND ITS
CONSEQUENCES**

B.B. Sahoo, G.K. Panda

The new version of the paper is essentially the same as the previous version. Only the example 3 has been corrected by the authors.

In my opinion it would be advisable for the authors to compare the results they may have achieved in the papers [16], [17] in references.

As for as the proof of theorem 5.1 is concerned, it seems that the case that:

(*) there exists $y \in K$ such that $f(x,y) < 0$

was not been considered in the proof. I wish that the authors could make this clear.

Moreover, why they did not consider in the case (*) y as belonging to the set $S(x_0)$?

Finally, it would be advisable that the authors had developed the proof of theorem 3.1 that, as they claim, is the main result of this paper.

For this reason my opinion is that the paper in this revised form cannot be recommend for the publication on British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science.

Note: Anonymous Reviewer

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.