



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6683
Title of the Manuscript:	Extended Vector Equilibrium Problem
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See the sticky remarks on the manuscript.	1. As suggested by the reviewer, “K must be a convex and closed set”, we have incorporated this change in the text. 2. Now the KKM Theorem is corrected by mentioning “K be a nonempty convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space X.” 3. In response to the third comment of the referee, we have consider “K to be a nonempty convex subset of X.” Further, no changes are incorporated as there is no need. 4. In problem (2.1), “$\lambda x + (1-\lambda)z$” is correct, our problem is meaningful and make sense as K is convex. It can't be changed as “$x + \lambda(z-x)$”, otherwise our problem will be wrong. 5. Also, in definition 2.3, we mention the character of K, so the definition 2.3 is also correct.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is very poorly written. All the concepts and results in this paper are superficial and wrong. KKM Theorem is only valid for convex sets. Authors must prove it for the invex sets first and then try to use it for problems.	All the corrections suggested by the referee 1 are incorporated in the text and highlighted.
---	---	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer